

## Large eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problem (asymptotic formula)

Recall Sturm-Liouville equation:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( p(x) \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) + [q(x) + \lambda r(x)] \phi(x) = 0 \quad a \leq x \leq b$$

eigenvalues:  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$$

$\lambda_1$  is the smallest eigenvalue. We can use RQ to

approximate small eigenvalues.

It turns out that we can approximate large eigenvalues asymptotically.

Let  $\lambda \gg 1$  ( $\lambda$  is very large)

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \sigma(x) \gg \gamma(x)$$

Then S.-L. equation reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( p(x) \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) + \lambda \sigma(x) \phi(x) = 0$$

$$\underbrace{p(x)}_m \frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} + \underbrace{\frac{d\phi}{dx}}_c + \underbrace{\lambda \sigma(x)}_k \phi = 0 \quad (1)$$

Think about S.-L. equation as of an equation for mass-spring system w/ damping where time is being replaced w/  $x$  and displacement  $x(t)$  — with  $\phi(x)$

Mass-spring system: 

$x(t)$ : displacement from equilibrium  
 $m$ : mass  
 $k$ : spring constant  
 $c$ : damping coefficient

$$m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = 0$$

The restoring force  $F(x) = -kx$ . In our case, this force is  $-\Delta\delta(x)\phi(x)$ . Since  $\Delta$  is large, the restoring force is

also large  $\Rightarrow \phi(x)$  is rapidly oscillating function. This is in agreement with the fact that  $\phi(x)$  has many zeros for large  $\Delta$ .

Since  $\phi(x)$  is rapidly oscillating function, functions  $p(x)$  and  $\delta(x)$  are slowly varying functions compared to  $\phi(x)$ .

$$\therefore p(x) \approx p(x_0) \quad \delta(x) \approx \delta(x_0)$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\frac{dp}{dx} \approx 0$$

for all  $x$  near any pt  $x_0$ . Hence, eq<sup>n</sup> (1) can be

written as

(approximated)

$$\underbrace{p(x_0)}_{\text{const}} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} + \underbrace{2 \sigma(x_0)}_{\text{const}} \phi(x) = 0$$

near any pt  $x_0$

Recall solution to a mass-spring system eq<sup>n</sup>:

$$m \ddot{x} + kx = 0$$

$$x = e^{rx}$$

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{k}{m} x = 0$$

$$\text{let } \omega_0^2 = \frac{k}{m}$$

Characteristic equation:

$$r^2 + \frac{k}{m} = 0$$

$$\text{Then } \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

$$\text{and } r = \pm i \omega_0$$

$\omega_0$ : angular frequency / local frequency

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos \omega_0 t + C_2 \sin \omega_0 t$$

$$\text{or } x(t) = A \sin(\omega_0 t - \alpha) \quad (2)$$

where  $A = \sqrt{C_1^2 + C_2^2}$  : Amplitude  
 $\alpha$ : phase shift

In our case,  $m = p(x_0)$ ,  $k = 2\sigma(x_0)$ . Then the angular / local frequency can be written as

$$\omega_0 = \text{local frequency} = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma(x_0)}{p(x_0)}}$$

If we use this expression for frequency, then  $\phi(x)$  will be an oscillatory function w/ constant amplitude like in (2).

A better approximation to  $\phi(x)$  will be if we assume that

$$\phi(x) = A(x) \sin(\psi(x))$$

where  $A(x)$ : slowly varying amplitude

$\psi(x)$ : phase

Expand  $\psi(x)$  in a Taylor series about  $x = x_0$ :

$$\psi(x) = \psi(x_0) + \psi'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \sin(\psi(x)) = \sin(\psi'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \dots)$$

compare this with  $\sin(\omega_0 t - \alpha)$

$\therefore \phi(x)$  oscillates w/ local frequency given by

$$\psi'(x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{2\delta^2(x_0)}{p(x_0)}} \quad a \leq x \leq b$$

Then  $\psi(x) = \int_a^x \sqrt{\frac{2\delta^2(s)}{p(s)}} ds + \psi(a)$  : exact

$$\text{Check: } \psi'(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\delta^2(x)}{p(x)}}$$

$$\psi(a) = \psi(a) \quad \checkmark$$

For large  $\lambda$ ,

$$\psi(x) \approx \int_a^x \left( \frac{\lambda \sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right)^{1/2} ds$$

It can be shown that

(to the leading order)

$$A(x) = [\sigma(x)p(x)]^{-1/4}$$

$$\phi(x) = \int_a^x \left[ \frac{\lambda \sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds$$

approximation  
of an  $\epsilon$ -function  
 $\phi(x)$  for large  $\lambda$

To find  $\lambda$ , we need to use BCs.

For example,  $\phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0$

$$0 = \phi(L) = [\sigma(L)p(L)]^{-1/4} \sin \int_0^L \left[ \frac{2\sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds$$

$$a=0$$

$$\therefore \sin \int_0^L \left[ \frac{2\sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds = 0$$

$$\int_0^L \left[ \frac{2\sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds = n\pi, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$2^{1/2} \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds = n\pi, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\therefore \lambda = \frac{(n\pi)^2}{\left\{ \int_0^L \left[ \frac{\sigma(s)}{p(s)} \right]^{1/2} ds \right\}^2}$$

approximation  
of large  
eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$



Ex  $\phi'' + 2(1+x)\phi = 0$

$\phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0$

$p=1, q(x)=0, v(x)=1+x$

Hence,

$$\lambda \approx \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\left[ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1+s}{1} \right)^{1/2} ds \right]^2}$$

$$\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\frac{2}{3}(1+s)^{3/2} \Big|_0^1} = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{\frac{4}{9}(2^{3/2}-1)^2}$$

gives very good approximations for small  $n$  values

TABLE 5.9.1: Eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$

$n$	Numerical answer*	Asymptotic formula (5.9.11)	Error
1	6.548395	6.642429	0.094034
2	26.464937	26.569718	0.104781
3	59.674174	59.781865	0.107691
4	106.170023	106.278872	0.108849
5	165.951321	166.060737	0.109416
6	239.017275	239.1274615	0.109734
7	325.369115	325.479045	0.109930

\*Courtesy of E. C. Gartland, Jr.

EXERCISES 5.9

5.9.1.

Estimate (to leading order) the large eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions for

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( p(x) \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) + [\lambda \sigma(x) + q(x)] \phi = 0$$

if the boundary conditions are

(a)  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}(0) = 0$  and  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}(L) = 0$

(b)  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}(L) = 0$

(c)  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}(L) + h\phi(L) = 0$

5.9.2. Consider

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} + \lambda(1+x)\phi = 0$$

subject to  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(1) = 0$ . Roughly sketch the eigenfunctions for  $\lambda$  large.

Take into account amplitude and period variations.

5.9.3. Consider for  $\lambda \gg 1$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} + [\lambda \sigma(x) + q(x)] \phi = 0.$$

\*Substitute

0.0338  
0.0459  
0.0659  
0.1025  
0.1805  
0.3950  
1.436  
% error

### Eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ : comparison between numerically and asymptotically computed eigenvalues

$\lambda_{numer}^n$	$\lambda_{asympt}^n$	absolute error = $ \lambda_{numer}^n - \lambda_{asympt}^n $	relative error = $\frac{ \lambda_{numer}^n - \lambda_{asympt}^n }{\lambda_{numer}^n}$
6.548395	6.642429	0.094034	0.014360
26.464937	26.569718	0.104781	0.003959
59.674174	59.781865	0.107691	0.001805
106.170023	106.278872	0.108849	0.001025
165.951321	166.060737	0.109416	0.000659
239.017728	239.127462	0.109734	0.000459
325.369115	325.479045	0.109930	0.000338

**Note:** The relative error (percent error) decreases as  $n$  increases where the absolute error stays about the same. This approximately constant error can be improved by adding a correction term to the asymptotic formula for eigenvalues.