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#### Abstract

The combinatorial dimension relative to an arbitrary fractional Cartesian product is defined. Relations between dimensions in certain archetypal instances are derived. Random sets with arbitrarily prescribed dimensions are produced; in particular, scales of combinatorial dimension are shown to be continuously and independently calibrated. A combinatorial concept of cylindricity is key. © 2004 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Random Struct. Alg., 26, 146-159, 2005


## 1. DEFINITIONS, STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

The idea of a fractional Cartesian product and a subsequent measurement of combinatorial dimension appeared first in a harmonic-analytic context in the course of filling "analytic" gaps between successive (ordinary) Cartesian products of spectral sets [2, 3]. (Detailed accounts of this, and much more, appear in [5].)

Succinctly put, combinatorial dimension is an index of interdependence. Attached to a subset of an ordinary Cartesian product, it gauges precisely the interdependence of restrictions to the set, of the canonical projections from the Cartesian product onto its independent coordinates. We can analogously gauge the interdependence of restrictions to the same set, of projections from the Cartesian product onto interdependent coordinates of a prescribed fractional Cartesian product. We thus obtain distinct indices of interpendences associated, respectively, with distinct fractional Cartesian products. A question naturally arises: What are the relationships between these various indices?

[^0]To make matters precise, we first recall, and then extend basic notions found in Chapters XII and XIII of [5]. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ be sets, and let $F \subset E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}$. (We refer to $E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}$ as the ambient product of $F$.) For integers $s>0$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{F}(s)=\max \left\{\mid F \cap\left(A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}\left|: A_{i} \subset E_{i},\left|A_{i}\right| \leq s, i \in[n]\right\},\right.\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $a>0$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{F}(a)=\sup \left\{\Psi_{F}(s) / s^{a}: s=1,2, \ldots\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combinatorial dimension of $F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} F=\sup \left\{a: d_{F}(a)=\infty\right\}=\inf \left\{a: d_{F}(a)<\infty\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we define the fractional Cartesian products. For $S \subset[n]$, let $\pi_{S}$ denote the canonical projection from $E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}$ onto the product whose coordinates are indexed by $S$,

$$
\pi_{S}(\mathrm{y})=\left(y_{i}: i \in S\right), \quad \mathrm{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n} .
$$

Let $\mathrm{U}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}\right)$ be a cover of $[n]$ (i.e., $S_{1} \subset[n], \ldots, S_{m} \subset[n]$, and $\left.\cup_{j=1}^{m} S_{j}=[n]\right)$, and define a fractional Cartesian products based on U to be

$$
\left(E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}=\left\{\left(\pi_{S_{1}}(\mathrm{y}), \ldots, \pi_{S_{m}}(\mathrm{y})\right): \mathrm{y} \in E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}\right\}
$$

We view $\left(E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}\right)$ as a subset of $E^{S_{1}} \times \cdots \times E^{S_{m}}$, and measure its combinatorial dimension by solving a linear programming problem ( $[4,8]$ ): If $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$, are infinite sets, and

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{U}}=\max \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}: x_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i \in S_{j}} x_{i} \leq 1 \text { for } j \in[m]\right\},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{U}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Examples

1. (Maximal and minimal fractional Cartesian products) For integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, let U be a cover that is an enumeration of all $k$-subsets of $[n]$, and let V be a cover of $[n]$, all of whose elements are $k$-subsets of $[n]$ such that, for every $i \in[n],|\{S \in \mathrm{~V}: i \in S\}|=k$. Then, $\alpha_{\mathrm{U}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{V}}=n / k$, and (taking $E_{1}=\cdots=E_{n}=\mathbb{N}$ ) we obtain from (4)

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{n}{k}
$$

The ambient product of $\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}$ is $\binom{n}{k}$-dimensional, and the ambient product of $\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ is $n$-dimensional. Generally, if $k$ and $n$ are relatively prime, then the dimension of the ambient product of any $\frac{n}{k}$-dimensional fractional Cartesian product is at least $n$, and no greater than $\binom{n}{k}$.
2. (Random constructions) Fractional Cartesian product are subsets of ambient products typically of high dimension. To wit, there are no nontrivial fractional Cartesian product in $\mathbb{N}^{2}$, whereas for arbitrary $\alpha \in(1,2)$ there exists an abundance of random sets $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=\alpha[6,7]$. How to produce deterministically $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=\alpha$, where $\alpha \in(1,2)$ is arbitrary, is an open problem.
3. (An application) From $\alpha_{\mathrm{U}}=3 / 2$ in the archetypal case $n=3, U=(\{1,2\},\{2,3\}$, $\{1,3\}$ ), we obtain that that the number of "triangles" formed from $s$ given edges in a graph is less than $s^{\frac{3}{2}}$. More generally, following a canonical identification of a complex on $n$ vertices with a cover U of $[n]$, we obtain from (4) that the number of complexes formed from $s$ given simplices is bounded by $s^{\alpha} U$. These results are closely related to the Kruskal-Katona Theorem (drawn to our attention by Joel Spencer); see [10, 9].

Definition 1. For $F \subset E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{n}$, and a cover $\mathrm{U}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}\right)$ of $[n]$, let

$$
F_{\mathrm{U}}=\left\{\left(\pi_{s_{1}}(y), \ldots, \pi_{s_{m}}(y)\right): y \in F\right\}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F=\operatorname{dim} F_{\mathrm{U}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\operatorname{dim}_{U}$ as a gauge of interdependence of $\left.\pi_{S_{1}}\right|_{F}, \ldots,\left.\pi_{S_{m}}\right|_{F}$ (projections $\pi_{S_{i}}$ restricted to $F$ ). By (4), for infinite $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq \alpha_{\mathrm{U}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue to write $\operatorname{dim} F$ in the extremal case $\mathrm{U}=(\{1\}, \ldots,\{n\})$.
Problem 2. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ be infinite sets, and let $U$ be a cover of $[n]$. For $F \subset E \times \cdots \times E_{n}$, what are the relations between $\operatorname{dim} F$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{U} F$ ?

In this article we analyze the first nontrivial case: $n=3$, and $\mathrm{U}=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right)$, where $S_{1}=\{1,2\}, S_{2}=\{2,3\}, S_{3}=\{1,3\}$. Throughout, for convenience (and with no loss of generality), we take $E_{1}=E_{2}=E_{3}=\mathbb{N}$.

## 2. GENERAL BOUNDS

Theorem 3. If $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ and $\operatorname{dim} F \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{dim} F}{2} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq \frac{2 \operatorname{dim} F}{\operatorname{dim} F+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the right-side inequality in (7) (the nontrivial part of the theorem) by the use of Littlewood-type inequalities in fractional dimensions.

Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}$ be sets. Consider scalar $d$-tensors on $E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{d}$ with finite support

$$
b=\left(b_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{d}\right),
$$

and define (the $d$-fold injective tensor-norm of $b$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{\bar{\otimes}_{d}}=\left\|\sum_{x \in E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{d}} b_{x} r_{x_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{x_{d}}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{x_{1}}, \ldots, r_{x_{d}}$ are Rademacher functions indexed by $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}$, whose respective domains are $\{-1,1\}^{E_{1}}, \ldots,\{-1,1\}^{E_{d}}$ (e.g., p. 19 in [5]). For $F \subset E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{d}$, and $t \geq 1$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{F}(t)=\sup \left\{\left(\sum_{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in F}\left|b_{\mathrm{x}}\right|^{\ell}\right)^{1 / \ell}:\|b\|_{\bar{ه}_{d}} \leq 1\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the harmonic-analytic measurement $\zeta_{F}$ and the combinatorial measurement $d_{F}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{F}(t)<\infty \Longleftrightarrow d_{F}\left(\frac{t}{2-t}\right)<\infty, \quad t \in[1,2) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See Th. XIII. 20 in [5].) To prepare for an application of (10), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{\bar{\otimes}_{\mathrm{U}}}=\left\|\sum_{i, j, k} b_{i j k} r_{i j} \otimes r_{j k} \times r_{i k}\right\|_{\infty}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b=\left(b_{i j k}:(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}\right)$ with finite support. We observe

$$
1_{\left(\mathbb{N}^{3}\right)_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}\right)=\mathbb{E} r_{i_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) r_{i_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) r_{i_{3}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) r_{i_{4}}\left(\omega_{3}\right) r_{i_{5}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) r_{i_{6}}\left(\omega_{3}\right),
$$

where 1 denotes indicator function, and $\mathbb{E}$ denotes expectation over $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$.
From this we deduce (an instance of Corollary XIII. 7 in [5])
Lemma 4. If $\tilde{b}=\left(\tilde{b}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{2} \times \mathbb{N}^{2} \times \mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$ is a real-valued 3-tensor with finite support, and

$$
b_{j}=\tilde{b}_{\pi S_{1}}(\mathrm{j}) \pi s_{2}(\mathrm{j}) \pi s_{3}(\mathrm{j}), \quad \mathrm{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{3},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{\bar{ष}_{\mathrm{U}}} \leq\|\tilde{b}\|_{\bar{\otimes}_{3}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require also a decomposition property, which follows from Lemma XIII. 21 in [5]: if $\varphi \in l^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)$, and

$$
c_{n}(\varphi)=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{s} \sum_{\mathrm{i} \in A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}}|\varphi(\mathrm{i})|: s \in \mathbb{N}, A_{v} \subset \mathbb{N},\left|A_{v}\right|=s, v \in[n]\right\},
$$

then there exists a partition $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that, for $v \in[n]$,

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \pi_{v}^{-1}\{k\}}|\varphi(\mathrm{i})| 1_{Q_{v}}(\mathrm{i}) \leq c_{n}(\varphi),
$$

where $\pi_{v}$ is the $v$ th canonical projection from $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ onto $\mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 5. If $\varphi \in l^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)$ is supported in $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{n}$, then there exists a partition $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that for $v \in[n]$, and $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{i} \in \pi_{\mathrm{v}}^{-1}\{\mathrm{k}\}}}|\varphi(\mathrm{i})| 1_{Q_{v}}(\mathrm{i}) \leq d_{F}(p)^{1 / p}\|\varphi\|_{q}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.

Proof. For $S \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s$-sets $A_{v} \subset \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. $\left|A_{v}\right|=s$ ), $v \in[n]$, we estimate (by Hölder's inequality)

$$
\frac{1}{s} \sum_{\mathrm{i} \in A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}}|\varphi(\mathrm{i})| \leq \frac{1}{s}\left|F \cap\left(A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}\right)\right|^{1 / p}\|\varphi\|_{q}
$$

Then $c_{n}(\varphi) \leq d_{F}(p)^{1 / p}\|\varphi\|_{p}$, and the lemma follows from the decomposition property above.

Lemma 6. If $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}, a \geq 2$, and $d_{F}(a)<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{4 a}{3 a+1}\right)<\infty . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following Lemma 4 and the definition of $\zeta_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}(a)$, we need to verify that if $b=$ $\left(b_{i j k}:(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}\right)$ is a scalar 3-tensor with finite support, and $\|b\|_{\bar{ष}_{\mathrm{U}}} \leq 1$, then

$$
\sum_{(i, j, k) \in F}\left|b_{i j k}\right|^{4 a /(3 a+1)} \leq K,
$$

where $K$ depends only on $F$ and $a$. To this end we use duality, and the "fractional" mixed norm inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j}\left(\sum_{k}\left|b_{i j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \lambda, \sum_{i, k}\left(\sum_{j}\left|b_{i j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \lambda, \sum_{j, k}\left(\sum_{i}\left|b_{i j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \lambda, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>1$ is an absolute constant; see Lemma XII. 1 in [5].
Suppose $\theta$ is an element in the unit ball of $l^{\frac{4 a}{a-1}}\left(\mathbb{N}^{3}\right)$, and that $\theta$ is supported in $F$. Apply Lemma 5 with $p=a$ and $\varphi=|\theta|^{4}$, thus obtaining a partition $\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}^{3}$, such that, for $v=1,2,3$,

$$
\sup _{l \in N} \sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \pi_{v}^{-1}\{l\}}|\theta(\mathrm{i})|^{4} 1_{Q_{v}}(\mathrm{i}) \leq d_{F}(a)^{1 / a} .
$$

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $v=1,2,3$, let $F_{l v}=F \cap Q_{v} \cap \pi_{v}^{-1}\{l\}$. For convenience, designate $T_{1}=$ $\{2,3\}, T_{2}=\{1,3\}, T_{3}=\{1,2\}$. For each $l$ and $v$, apply Lemma 5 with $p=2, F=F_{l v}$ (viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ ), and $\varphi=|\theta|^{2} \cdot 1_{F_{l v}}$ (viewed as a function on $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ ), thus obtaining partitions $\left\{P_{l l v}, P_{l 2 v}\right\}$ of $F_{l v}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{l \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left(|\theta|^{2} \cdot 1_{P_{l l v}}\right) \circ \pi_{T_{v}}\right)(i, j) \leq d_{F}(a)^{1 / 2 a},  \tag{16}\\
& \sup _{l \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left(|\theta|^{2} \cdot 1_{P_{l 2 v}}\right) \circ \pi_{T_{v}}\right)(i, j) \leq d_{F}(a)^{1 / 2 a} .
\end{align*}
$$

(In applying here Lemma 5, we used $d_{F_{l v}}(2) \leq 1$.) For $u=1,2$, and $v=1,2,3$, let

$$
P_{u v}=\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} P_{l u v},
$$

and then write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{3}} b_{i} \cdot \theta(\mathbf{i})=\sum_{u \in\{1,2\}, v \in\{1,2,3\}}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{3}} b_{i} \cdot \theta(\mathbf{i}) \cdot 1_{P_{u v}}(\mathbf{i})\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying to each of the six sums on the right side of (17) the mixed-norm inequalities (15), together with (16) (via Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder), we obtain

$$
\left|\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{3}} b_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \theta(\mathbf{i})\right| \leq 6 \lambda d_{F}(a)^{1 / 4 a} .
$$

Therefore (by duality and definition of $\zeta_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}$ ),

$$
\zeta_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{4 a}{3 a+1}\right) \leq 6 \lambda d_{F}(a)^{1 / 4 a} .
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $A, B, C$ be arbitrary $s$-subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(s^{2}\right) & \geq\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B) \times(B \times C) \times(A \times C)\right| \\
& =|F \cap(A \times B \times C)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximizing over $A, B, C$ we obtain

$$
\Psi_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(s^{2}\right) \geq \Psi_{F}(s),
$$

which implies

$$
d_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \geq d_{F}(a), \quad a>0,
$$

and hence the left inequality in (7).
If $a>\operatorname{dim} F$, then $d_{F}(a)<\infty$. By Lemma 6, $\zeta_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{4 a}{3 a+1}\right)<\infty$. By (10), $d_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{2 a}{a+1}\right)<\infty$, which implies $\operatorname{dim} F_{\mathrm{U}} \leq \frac{2 a}{a+1}$, and hence the right-side inequality in (7).

## 3. CYLINDRICAL SETS

For $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}, v=1,2,3$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
F_{v}(k)=\pi_{v}^{-1}\{k\} \cap F .
$$

Also, for $E \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1, k}=\{(j:(j, k) \in E\}, \\
& E_{2, k}=\{(j:(k, j) \in E\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 7. $\quad F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is cylindrical in direction $v(v=1,2,3)$ if for all $a \geq 2$

$$
d_{F}(a)<\infty \Rightarrow \sup \left\{d_{F_{v}(k)}(a-1): k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty .
$$

$F$ is cylindrical if it is cylindrical in at least one direction, and doubly-cylindrical if it is cylindrical in at least two directions.

We say $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is a $c y l i n d e r$ if $F=E \times H$ for $E \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ (base) and $H \subset \mathbb{N}$ (height). For such $F, \operatorname{dim} F=\operatorname{dim} E+\operatorname{dim} H$. Note also that cylinders are obviously cylindrical, but cylindrical sets need not be cylinders.

## Theorem 8.

(i) If $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is cylindrical with $\operatorname{dim} F \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{dim} F}{2} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq 2-\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} F-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is a cylinder with infinite base and infinite height, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F=2-\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} F-1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ is doubly-cylindrical with $\operatorname{dim} F \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F=\frac{\operatorname{dim} F}{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) The left inequality always holds (Theorem 3). We proceed to verify the inequality on the right, under the assumption that $F$ is cylindrical in direction 3 . Suppose $a>\operatorname{dim} F \geq 2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_{F_{3}(k)}(a-1) \leq K<\infty \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A, B, C$ be arbitrary $s$-subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{2}$, and define

$$
H=\left\{k: \max \left\{\left|B_{1, k}\right|,\left|C_{1, k}\right|\right\} \geq s^{1 /(a-1)}\right\}
$$

Then, $|H| \leq 2 s^{(a-2) /(a-1)}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in H} \sum_{i, j} 1_{F}(i, j, k) 1_{A}(i, j) 1_{B}(j, k) 1_{C}(i, k) \leq \sum_{k \in H}|A| \leq 2 s^{(2 a-3) /(a-1)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \notin H} \sum_{i, j} 1_{F}(i, j, k) 1_{A}(i, j) 1_{B}(j, k) 1_{C}(i, k) \\
& \leq \sum_{k \notin H} \sum_{i, j} 1_{F}(i, j, k) 1_{B}(j, k) 1_{C}(i, k) \\
& \leq \sum_{k \notin H} d_{F_{3}(k)}(a-1) \cdot \max \left\{\left|B_{1, k}\right|^{a-1},\left|C_{1, k}\right|^{a-1}\right\} \\
& \leq K s^{\frac{a-2}{a-1}} \sum_{k \notin H}\left(\left|B_{1, k}\right|+\left|C_{1, k}\right|\right) \\
& \leq 2 K s^{\frac{2 a-3}{a-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right| & =\sum_{i, j, k} 1_{F}(i, j, k) 1_{A}(i, j) 1_{B}(j, k) 1_{C}(i, k) \\
& \leq 2 s^{(2 a-3) /(a-1)}+2 K s^{(2 a-3) /(a-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\Psi_{F_{U}}(s) \leq(2+2 K) s^{(2 a-3) /(a-1)}$, which implies

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq 2-\frac{1}{a-1},
$$

and hence the right-side inequality in (18).
(ii) We can assume $F=E \times \mathbb{N}$ with $\operatorname{dim} E>1$, and proceed to verify $\operatorname{dim}_{U} F \geq$ $2-1 /(\operatorname{dim} F-1)$. Fix $1<a<\operatorname{dim} E$. Then, for arbitrarily large positive integers $s$, there exist $s$-sets $A_{1} \subset \mathbb{N}, A_{2} \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\left|E \cap\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}\right)\right|=M s^{a},
$$

and $M>0$ is a large as we please. Let $A=E \cap\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}\right), B=A_{1} \times[\mathrm{m}]$, and $C=A_{2} \times[\mathrm{m}]$, where $m$ is the integer satisfying $m-1<s^{a-1} \leq m$. Then,

$$
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right|=|A| m \approx M s^{2 a-1} .
$$

Therefore, $\psi_{F_{U}}\left(M s^{a}\right) \geq M s^{2 a-1}$, which implies $d_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(2-\frac{1}{a}\right)=\infty$, and hence the desired conclusion.
(iii) For $v=1,2$, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_{F_{v}(k)}(a-1) \leq K<\infty \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $F$ cylindrical in directions 1 and 2), and proceed to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq \frac{\operatorname{dim} F}{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $a>\operatorname{dim} F$, and let $A, B, C$ be arbitrary $s$-subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{2}$. We decompose $A$ into two disjoint sets $G$ and $H$, such that $\max _{i}\left|G_{1, i}\right| \leq \sqrt{s}$ and $\max _{i}\left|H_{2, i}\right| \leq \sqrt{s}$ (e.g., $G=\cup_{i}\left\{A_{2, i}\right.$ : $\left.\left|A_{2, i}\right|>\sqrt{s}\right\}, H=\cup_{i}\left\{A_{2, i}:\left|A_{2, i}\right| \leq \sqrt{s}\right\}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right|=\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(H \times B \times C)\right|+\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(G \times B \times C)\right|, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rewrite the first term on the right side of (25) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(H \times B \times C)\right| & =\sum_{i, j, k} 1_{H}(i, j) 1_{B}(j, k) 1_{C}(i, k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) \\
& =\sum_{i} \sum_{j, k} 1_{H_{2, i}}(j) 1_{B_{2, i}}(k) 1_{C}(j, k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D=\left\{i:\left|B_{2, i}\right| \leq\left|H_{2, i}\right|\right\}$. By applying (23) in the case $v=1$, we estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i \in D} \sum_{j, k} 1_{H_{2, i}}(j) 1_{B_{2, i}}(k) 1_{C}(j, k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) & \leq \sum_{i \in D} \sum_{j, k} 1_{H_{2, i}}(j) 1_{B_{2, i}}(k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) \\
& \leq K \sum_{i \in D}\left|H_{2, i}\right|^{a-1} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i \notin D$, let $m_{i}$ be the largest integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} \leq \frac{\left|B_{2, i}\right|}{\left|H_{2, i}\right|}+1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and decompose $B_{2, i}$ into pairwise disjoint sets $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m_{i}}$ such that $\left|E_{u}\right| \leq\left|H_{2, i}\right|$ for $u \in\left[m_{i}\right]$. By applying (23) and (28), we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \notin D} \sum_{j, k} 1_{H_{2, i}}(j) 1_{B_{2, i}}(k) 1_{C}(j, k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) & \leq \sum_{i \notin D} \sum_{u=1}^{m_{i}} \sum_{j, k} 1_{H_{2, i}}(j) 1_{E_{u}}(k) 1_{F}(i, j, k) \\
& \leq K \sum_{i \notin D}\left|B_{2, i}\right|\left|H_{2, i}\right|^{a-2}+\left|H_{2, i}\right|^{a-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with (27), and then using $\left|H_{2, i}\right| \leq \sqrt{s}, \sum_{i}\left|B_{2, i}\right|=s, \sum_{i}\left|H_{2, i}\right| \leq s$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(H \times B \times C)\right| & \leq K s^{(a-2) / 2}\left(\sum_{i \in D}\left|H_{2, i}\right|+\sum_{i \in D^{\mathrm{c}}}\left|B_{2, i}\right|+\left|H_{2, i}\right|\right) \\
& \leq 2 K s^{a / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar argument (based on (23) in the case $v=2$ ), we obtain an identical estimate for the second term on the right side of (25). Combining the two estimates, we deduce

$$
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right| \leq 4 K s^{a / 2}
$$

Therefore, $d_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \leq 4 K$, which implies (24).

## 4. RANDOM CONSTRUCTIONS

Next we produce random sets (cf. [6,7]), demonstrating that the dim-scale and the $\operatorname{dim}_{U^{-}}$ scale implied by Theorem 7 are continuous, and are independently calibrated:

## Theorem 9.

(i) For all $x \in[2,3]$ and $y \in\left[\frac{x}{2}, \frac{2 x-3}{x-1}\right]$, there exist cylindrical sets $F \subset \mathbb{N}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=x$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{U} F=y$.
(ii) For all $x \in[2,3]$, there exist doubly-cylindrical sets $F \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=x$ and (hence) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F=\frac{x}{2}$.

The proof uses random constructions based on the following instance of the ProkhorovBennett probabilistic inequalities (e.g., [1]):

Lemma 10. If $\left(X_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is a sequence of (statistically) independent $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables with mean $\delta$, then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-n \delta\right|>t\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(\frac{-t^{2}}{8 n \delta}\right), \quad t \in(0, n \delta),  \tag{29}\\
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-n \delta\right|>t\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{4} \log \left(\frac{t}{n \delta}\right)\right), \quad t \geq 2 n \delta . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows below we use the notation $|A| \stackrel{K}{\sim} m$ to mean $m / K \leq|A| \leq K m$.
Lemma 11. For every $\alpha \in(1,2)$ there is $n_{0}(\alpha)=n_{0}>0$, so that for every $N \geq n_{0}$ there exists $E \subset[N]^{2}$ such that, for $u=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{u, i}\right| \stackrel{2}{\sim} N^{\alpha-1} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq N, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $s$-sets $A \subset[N], B \subset[N]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|E \cap(A \times B)| \leq 5 s^{\alpha} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $N \geq 1$, and let $\left\{X_{i j}:(i, j) \in[N]^{2}\right\}$ be a system of independent $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables with mean $N^{\alpha-2}$. Consider the random set $E=\left\{(i, j): X_{i j}=1\right\}$. The probability that $E$ fails to satisfy (31) is no larger than

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i j}-N^{\alpha-1}\right|>\frac{N^{\alpha-1}}{2}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{i j}-N^{\alpha-1}\right|>\frac{N^{\alpha-1}}{2}\right),
$$

which, following (29), is no larger than $1 / 3$ for all $N \geq n_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n_{0}$. Also, the probability that $E$ fails to satisfy (32) is no larger than

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{N} \sum_{|A|=s,|B|=s} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{(i, j) \in A \times B} X_{i j}-s^{2} N^{\alpha-2}>4 s^{\alpha}\right),
$$

which, following (30), is no larger than

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{N}\binom{N}{s}^{2} \cdot 2 \exp \left(-(2-\alpha) s^{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{2 N}{s}\right)\right)<\frac{1}{3}
$$

for all $N \geq n_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n_{0}$. We thus conclude that $E$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma with probability at least $1 / 3$.

Lemma 12. For every $\alpha \in(1,2)$ and every $\beta \in[0, \alpha,-1)$ there is $n_{0}(\alpha, \beta)=n_{0}>0$, so that for every $N \geq n_{0}$ there exists $F \subset[N]^{3}$ satisfying the following:
(i) There exists $E \subset[N]^{2}$ with $|E| \stackrel{2}{\sim} N^{\alpha}$, such that, for all $k \in[N]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\{(i, j):(i, j, k) \in F,(i, j) \in E\}| \stackrel{4}{\sim} N^{\alpha-\beta} ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) for all $s$-sets $A \subset[N]$ and $B \subset[N]$, and for all $k \in[N]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F \cap(A \times B \times\{k\})| \leq 25 s^{\alpha-\beta}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For all $s$-sets $S \subset[N] \times[N]$, and for all $C \subset[N]$ with $|C| \leq s^{1-1 / \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F \cap(S \times C)| \leq 5 s^{2-1 / \alpha-\beta / \alpha} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $E$ as in Lemma 11. If $\beta=0$, then we simply let $F=E \times[N]$. For $\beta \in(0, \alpha-1)$, let $\left\{X_{i j k}:(i, j) \in E, 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ be a system of independent $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables with mean $N^{-\beta}$. Then, by argument similar to the one used to prove Lemma 11, we conclude that $F=\left\{(i, j, k): X_{i j k}=1\right\}$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma with positive probability.

Proof of Theorem 9. (i) For $x=2$, take $F=\mathbb{N}^{2} \times\{1\}$, and for $x=3$, take $F=\mathbb{N}^{3}$. For $x \in(2,3)$, let $\alpha=\frac{x-2}{y-1}$ and $\beta=\alpha+1-x$. Then, $1<\alpha \leq 2$, and $0 \leq \beta<\alpha-1$. Let $n_{0}=n_{0}(\alpha, \beta)$ be as in Lemma 11, and for each integer $n$ such that $3^{n} \geq n_{0}$, let $F_{n}$ be the set $F$ obtained from Lemma 11 for $N=3^{n}$. Define

$$
F=\bigcup_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left\{\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+F_{n}\right\}
$$

Claim 1: $\operatorname{dim} F=x$.
For all $s$-sets $A \subset \mathbb{N}, B \subset \mathbb{N}, C \subset \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F \cap(A \times B \times C)| & =\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left|\left(\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+F_{n}\right) \cap(A \times B \times C)\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left|F_{n} \cap\left(\left(A-3^{n}\right) \times\left(B-3^{n}\right) \times\left(C-3^{n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} 25 s_{n}^{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
s_{n}=\max \left\{\left|\left(A-3^{n}\right) \cap\left[3^{n}\right]\right|,\left|\left(B-3^{n}\right) \cap\left[3^{n}\right]\right|,\left|\left(C-3^{n}\right) \cap\left[3^{n}\right]\right|\right\},
$$

and the inequality follows from (34).
Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} s_{n}^{x} & \leq\left(\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} s_{n}\right)^{x} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\left|A \cap\left(3^{n}+\left[3^{n}\right]\right)\right|+\left|B \cap\left(3^{n}+\left[3^{n}\right]\right)\right|+\left|C \cap\left(3^{n}+\left[3^{n}\right]\right)\right|\right)\right)^{x} \\
& \leq(|A|+|B|+|C|)^{x}=3^{x} s^{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{F}(s) \leq 25 \cdot 3^{x} s^{x} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, choosing $A=B=C=3^{n}+\left[3^{n}\right]$, we obtain from (33)

$$
|F \cap(A \times B \times C)|=\left|\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+F_{n}\right|=\left|F_{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(3^{n}\right)^{\alpha-\beta+1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(3^{n}\right)^{x}
$$

Therefore, $\Psi_{F}\left(3^{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(3^{n}\right)^{x}$, which together with (36), implies $\operatorname{dim} F=x$.
Claim 2: $\operatorname{dim}_{U} F=y$.
We let $A \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}, B \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}, C \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ be $s$-sets, and estimate $\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right|$.
To this end, let

$$
H=\left\{k:\left|B_{1, k}\right|>s^{1 / \alpha} \text { or }\left|C_{2}, k\right|>s^{1 / \alpha}\right\} .
$$

Then, $|H| \leq 2 s^{1-1 / \alpha}$ (because $\sum\left(\left|B_{1, k}\right|+\left|C_{2, k}\right|\right)=|B|+|C|=2 s$ ). We note that $\mid F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap$ $(A \times B \times C) \mid$ is less than or equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \notin H}\left|F \cap\left(C_{2, k} \times B_{1, k} \times\{k\}\right)\right|+\sum_{k \in H}|F \cap(A \times\{k\})| . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the first sum in (37), we observe that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
F \cap\left(C_{2, k} \times B_{1, k} \times\{k\}\right)=\left(\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+F_{l}\right) \cap\left(C_{2, k} \times B_{1, k} \times\{k\}\right)
$$

where $3^{l} \leq k<3^{l+1}$. Вy (34),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+F_{l}\right) \cap\left(C_{2, k} \times B_{1, k} \times\{k\}\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|F_{l} \cap\left(\left(C_{2, k}-3^{l}\right) \times\left(B_{1, k}-3^{l}\right) \times\left(3\{k\}-3^{l}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq 25\left(\max \left\{\left|B_{1, k}\right|,\left|C_{2, k}\right|\right\}\right)^{\alpha-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k \notin H}\left|F \cap\left(C_{2, k} \times B_{1, k} \times\{k\}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{k \notin H} 25\left(\max \left\{\left|B_{1, k}\right|,\left|C_{2, k}\right|\right\}\right)^{\alpha-\beta} \\
& \leq 25 \sum_{k \notin H}\left(\max \left\{\left|B_{1, k}\right|,\left|C_{2, k}\right|\right\}\right)\left(s^{1 / \alpha}\right)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\
& \leq 25 \cdot 2 s \cdot s^{1-(1+\beta) / \alpha} \\
& =50 s^{2-(1+\beta) / \alpha} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the second sum in (37), denote

$$
A^{(l)}=A \cap\left(\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+\left[3^{l}\right] \times\left[3^{l}\right]\right), \quad H^{(l)}=H \cap\left(3^{l}+\left[3^{t}\right]\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k \in H}|F \cap(A \times\{k\})| & =\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+F_{l} \cap\left(A^{(l)} \times H^{(l)}\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left|F_{l} \cap\left(\left(A^{(l)}-\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)\right) \times\left(H^{(l)}-3^{l}\right)\right)\right| \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

By (35), each summand in (39) is bounded by

$$
\begin{cases}5\left|A^{(l)}\right|^{2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)} & \text { if }\left|H^{(l)}\right| \leq\left|A^{(l)}\right|^{1-1 / \alpha} \\ 5\left|H^{(l)}\right|^{(2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)) /(1-1 / \alpha)} & \text { if }\left|H^{(l)}\right|>\left|A^{(l)}\right|^{1-1 / \alpha}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k \in H}|F \cap(A \times\{k\})| & \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 5\left|A^{(l)}\right|^{2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)}+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 5\left|H^{(l)}\right|^{(2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)) /(1-1 / \alpha)} \\
& \leq 5\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left|A^{(l)}\right|\right)^{2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)}+5\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left|A^{(l)}\right|\right)^{(2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)) /(1-1 / \alpha)} \\
& \leq 5|A|^{2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)}+5|H|^{(2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)) /(1-1 / \alpha)} \\
& \leq 5\left(1+2^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}\right) s^{2-(1 / \alpha)-(\beta / \alpha)} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality holds because $|H| \leq 2 s^{1-1 \alpha}$. By combining (37), (38), and (40), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}(s) \leq\left(50+5\left(1+2^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}\right)\right) s^{2-1 / \alpha-\beta / \alpha}=5\left(11+2^{\alpha /(\alpha-1)}\right) s^{y} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \leq y$.
To obtain the opposite inequality, for $n>n_{0}$, let $m$ be the integer such that $m-1<$ $\left(3^{n}\right)^{\alpha-1} \leq m$. Let $A=\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+E_{n}$, where $E_{n}=E$ is obtained from Lemma 12(i) for $N=3^{n}$, and let $B=\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+\left[3^{n}\right] \times m$ and $C=\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+[m] \times\left[3^{n}\right]$. Then $|A| \stackrel{2}{\sim} 3^{\alpha n}$, and $|B|=|C|<3^{\alpha n}+3^{n}$. Applying (33), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{\mathrm{U}} \cap(A \times B \times C)\right| & =\left|\left\{(i, j, k) \in\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)+F_{n}:(i, j) \in A,(j, k) \in B,(k, i) \in C\right\}\right| \\
& =\left|\left\{(i, j, k) \in F_{n}:(i, j) \in E_{n}, k \in[m]\right\}\right| \\
& \stackrel{4}{\sim}\left(3^{n}\right)^{\alpha-\beta} \cdot m \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(3^{\alpha n}\right)^{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\Psi_{F_{\mathrm{U}}}\left(2 \cdot 3^{\alpha n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(3^{\alpha n}\right)^{y}$, which implies $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F \geq y$.

Claim 3: F is cylindrical.
We have shown that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{U}} F=\alpha-\beta+1$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}, \pi_{3}^{-1}\{k\} \cap F \neq \emptyset$ only if $3^{l} \leq k \leq 2 \cdot 3^{l}$, in which case

$$
\pi_{3}^{-1}\{k\} \cap F=\pi_{3}^{-1}\{k\} \cap\left(\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+F_{l}\right)=\left(3^{l}, 3^{l}, 3^{l}\right)+\pi_{3}^{-1}\left\{k-3^{l}\right\} \cap F_{l} .
$$

$\operatorname{By}(34), d_{\pi_{3}^{-1}\{k\} \cap F_{3} l}(\alpha-\beta) \leq 5$ for all $1 \leq k \leq 3^{l}$. Therefore, $d_{\pi_{3}^{-1}\{k\} \cap F}(\alpha-\beta) \leq 5$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $F$ is cylindrical in direction 3 .
(ii) The construction of (random) doubly-cylindrical sets with the desired dimension follows a blueprint similar to the one followed in (i). Indeed, if $x \in(2,3)$, then for all $N \geq n_{0}$ for sufficiently large $n_{0}$, by using independent $\{0,1\}$-valued random variables with
a prescribed mean, we can produce (random sets) $F_{N} \subset[N]^{3}$ with the following properties: (a) for every positive integer $s \leq N, s$-subsets $A$ and $B$ of $[N]$, and every $l \in[N]$,

$$
\left|F_{N} \cap(A \times B \times\{l\})\right| \leq s^{x-1}, \quad\left|F_{N} \cap(A \times\{l\} \times B)\right| \leq s^{x-1}, \quad\left|F_{N} \cap(\{l\} \times A \times B)\right| \leq s^{x-1}
$$

(b) for every $l \in[N]$,
$\mid F_{N} \cap\left([N]^{2} \times\{l\}\left|\stackrel{2}{\sim} N^{x-1}, \quad\right| F_{N} \cap\left([N] \times\{l\} \times[N]\left|\stackrel{2}{\sim} N^{x-1}, \quad\right| F_{N} \cap\left(\{l\} \times[N]^{2} \mid \stackrel{2}{\sim} N^{x-1}\right.\right.\right.$.
Then, $F=\cup_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left(F_{3^{n}}+\left(3^{n}, 3^{n}, 3^{n}\right)\right)$ is cylindrical in each of the three directions, and $\operatorname{dim} F=x / 2$. The verification is similar to the proof given in (i), and is omitted.
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