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What Exactly Is a Synthesis?

• A report that assembles and disseminates information on a topic of current highway practice.

• Prepared by a consultant who has expertise in the topic area, with assistance provided by a technical panel.
Asphalt Institute's Expertise
Tack Coat Best Practices

FHWA Cooperative Agreement Subtask

(Longitudinal Joints
Intelligent Compaction)
Tack Coat Workshops, 2015-2016

Reach: 2700 Attendees through 47 workshops, plus additional 3100 with 35 presentations

[Map of the United States with states highlighted in blue and green, indicating completed workshops and states]

- **Dec 2014 - Pilot, in VA**
- **Completed 2015 (34)**
- **Completed 2016 (12)**
TechBrief

The Asphalt Pavement Technology Program is an integrated, national effort to improve the long-term performance and cost-effectiveness of asphalt pavements. Managed by the Federal Highway Administration through partnerships with state highway agencies, industry and academia, the program's primary goals are to reduce congestion, improve safety, and foster technology innovation. The program was established to develop and implement guidelines, methods, procedures, and other tools for use in asphalt pavement materials selection, mixture design, testing, construction and quality control.
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Tack Coat Best Practices

This Technical Brief provides an overview of tack coats and their vital role bonding multiple asphalt layers into one monolithic system. Poor tack coat techniques result in compromised bonding of the asphalt layers. This leads to pavement distresses. Possible slippage cracking and delamination are associated with poor bonding. Additionally, poor bonding can lead to structural distresses, namely fatigue cracking and potholes. Often this lack of sufficient bonding is not recognized as the source of failures.

Introduction

A key, but sometimes overlooked, component of an asphalt pavement is the bond strength between asphalt pavement layers. Tack coat is a sprayed application of an asphalt binder upon an existing asphalt or Portland cement concrete pavement prior to an overlay, or between layers of new asphalt concrete. This thin membrane of asphalt binder provides the glue between the layers, creating a monolithic structure which performs as a unit as opposed to unbound, independent, layers. When properly built, a pavement will provide the desired characteristics for its users, while meeting the needs of an agency for an economical, environmentally friendly and sustainable material.

Poor bonding of a pavement surface layer is a direct result of inadequate tack coat practices resulting in slippage and shoving of the pavement, as seen in Figure 1. This type of failure is most frequently seen in locations where braking or acceleration is common, such as intersections. Other distresses can also be made related to poor tack coat bonding, most notably pavement fatigue cracking.
Synthesis Project Time Line

• 12-Month Effort
  • Began in November 2016
  • Ends October 2017

• Survey
  • January – April 2017
    • 50 States
    • 7 Providences
  • Follow-up Interviews

• 1st Draft Report
  • May 19, 2017

• Panel Comments and Meeting
  • June 1, 2017

• 2nd Draft Report
  • July/August 2017

• Final Report
  • October 2017

• Publication
  • May 2018
Work Plan

• Literature Review
  • Specifications
  • Research
  • Testing
• Survey
  • US DOTs
  • Canadian Ministries
• Follow-Up Interviews
• Report

How not to use a Distributor Truck
Sections of the Synthesis 516

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Survey Results
- Case Examples
- Conclusions
- Appendices
Literature Review

- Importance of Tack Coats
- Tack Coat Definitions
- Tack Coat Specifications
- Tack Coat Materials/Products
- Tack Coat Construction Practices
- Testing and Acceptance of Tack Coats
Importance of Tack Coats

• discusses reasons why a good tack coat is important
• reviews typical pavement distresses that occur as result of poor bonding between pavement layers
• examines probable reasons why poor bonding results in the reported distress
Tack Coat Definitions

- **Undiluted Emulsion** - an emulsion which consists primarily of a paving grade asphalt binder, water, and an emulsifying agent.

- **Diluted Emulsion** - an emulsion with additional water added to it. The most common dilution rate is 1:1 (one part undiluted emulsion and one part additional water).

- **Residual Asphalt** - the remaining asphalt after an emulsion has set, typically 57-70 percent of the undiluted emulsion.

- **Tack Coat Break** - the moment when water separates enough from the asphalt to show a color change from brown to black.

- **Tack Coat Set** - when all the water has evaporated, leaving only the residual asphalt. Some refer to this as completely broken.
Tack Coat Specifications

- discusses ways agencies have specified issues related to tack coats
- provides information about:
  - methods of material acceptance
  - how tack is paid for by agencies
  - tack coat material dilution
  - tack coat application rates
Tack Coat Materials/Products

- provides information on materials specified by agencies for the use as tack coats.
- includes basic information about emulsions, cutbacks and asphalt binders, which ones are specified as tack coat material, and why
- includes information about reduced-tracking materials and their usage
- discusses temperatures at which materials are applied, and how agencies choose what they believe to be the best product for their use
Tack Coat Construction Practices

- provides detailed findings on agencies’ and contractors’ best practices regarding the application of tack coat in the construction phase
- discusses:
  - material storage and transport
  - equipment inspection and calibration
  - handling issues
  - surface preparation
  - effects of environmental factors
  - longitudinal joint surfaces
  - vehicle tracking of tack coat

Literature Review
Testing and Acceptance of Tack Coats

- tack coat quality assurance (QA) processes
- discusses:
  - whether tack coat material acceptance is based on field sampling or source sampling
  - what types of tests agencies specify for acceptance of tack coats
  - how agencies verify that the appropriate rate and surface coverage of tack coat is achieved.
Survey - excellent response rate

45 Questions

- Tack Coat Payment Specs
  - 2 questions
- Tack Coat Materials
  - 4 questions
- Tack Coat Application
  - 28 questions
- Tack Coat Evaluation
  - 9 questions
- Other Questions
  - 2 questions
How is tack paid for?

Why is this important?

*Little incentive for sufficient tack application if not a direct pay item*
* How is tack measured for pay?

- Volume of undiluted emulsion
- Mass of undiluted emulsion
- Volume or mass of diluted emulsion
- Volume or mass of residual asphalt
- Area (square yards)

* Some states pay by more than one method, so %’s do not add up to 100
Synthesis Survey Results:
Percentage of Tack Coat Materials Used in the U.S.
# Top 5 Emulsions Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Emulsion</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>CSS-1h</td>
<td>(52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Non-standard*</td>
<td>(48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>SS-1h</td>
<td>(46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>(36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>CSS-1</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Non-standard means that a state has come up with its own nomenclature for an emulsion, outside those specified in AASHTO M 140 (Anionic Emulsions), M 208 (Cationic Emulsions), or M 316 (Polymer-Modified Cationic Emulsions)

**Examples:** SS-1hp, CRS-2h, EBL
**Top Reduced Tracking Emulsion Used**

1. NTSS-1hm (24%)

Others specified (all by less than 3% of the states)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBC-1H</td>
<td>CBC-1HT</td>
<td>EM-50-TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Tac</td>
<td>NTCQS-HH</td>
<td>NTCQS-1HM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTCRS-1HM</td>
<td>NTCRS-1HSP</td>
<td>NTHAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTT</td>
<td>PATT</td>
<td>UltraFuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraTack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Non-tracking tacks are designed to improve the pavement performance by avoiding the tracking problems associated with traditional tacks. This material is typically manufactured to harden quickly and adhere minimally to tires. When a hot lift of asphalt is subsequently placed over the tack, the hardened tack is reactivated by the heat, and bonds the new overlay with the existing surface.”

(Seo, 2016)
Is Dilution of Emulsified Tack Allowed?

Dilution Recommendations

- Verify dilution amount
- Dilution cannot be used to “stretch” tack
- Residual value is key

- Dilution Allowed 48% (24/50)
- Dilution Not Allowed 52% (26/50)
Asphalt Institute recommends that any allowable dilution occur at the supplier’s terminal.

- At the asphalt supplier’s terminal: 86%
- At the contractor’s storage tank: 33%
- In the contractor’s distributor: 48%
Dilution verification method(s) allowed?

- Certification by asphalt supplier
- Certification by contractor
- Sample from contractor’s storage tank
- Sample from distributor
- Visual observation during dilution process
- Dilution rate not verified

[Bar chart showing the distribution of dilution verification methods]
How often is dilution checked?

- Daily
- Monthly
- By project
- Never
- Other

[Bar chart showing percentages]
Distributor Calibration—How often?

- Never: 36% (18/50)
- Annually: 14% (7/50)
- Per job: 18% (9/50)
- Other: 32% (16/50)
Who performs the calibration?

- The contractor - 44% (14/32)
- The agency - 28% (9/32)
- Independent testing firm - 16% (5/32)
- Distributor manufacturer - 16% (5/32)
- Other - 3% (1/32)
Surface Cleaning Methods

- **Power Brooming**: 41% (25/57)
- **Both Brooming and Air Blowing or Vacuum**: 25% (15/57)
- **Any of Multiple Specified Methods**: 2% (1/57)
- **Clean, but Method not Specified**: 33% (20/57)
Which of the following are specified by your agency for spray application of tack coat materials?

- Spray without overlap of emulsion streams on the application
- Double overlap of the emulsion streams on the application
- Triple overlap of the emulsion streams on the application
- Minimum height (inches) of the spraybar
- Maximum height (inches) of the spraybar
- Minimum angle (degrees) nozzle angle relative to the spraybar
- Maximum angle (degrees) nozzle angle relative to the spraybar
- Clean nozzles
- Uniform nozzles
- Other
- None
Percent of Surfaces Tacked

- 100%
- >75%
- 25-75%
Do any of the following conditions cause the specified residual asphalt tack coat application rate to change?

- No
- Other
- Overlay thickness
- Ambient temperatures
- Pavement surface condition
- Type of roadway
- Time of day
- Time of year

Categories: 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
When is traffic allowed on the tack coat?

- before the emulsion breaks: 0%
- after the emulsion breaks: 17%
- after the emulsion has set: 8%
- after a specific time delay: 0%
- traffic not allowed on fresh tack between distributor and paver: 42%
- does not specify: 34%
When is the paver allowed on the tack coat?

- **after the emulsion breaks** (55%)
- **after the emulsion has set** (11%)
- **after a specific time delay** (0%)
- **does not specify** (34%)
Methods Used to Minimize Tracking

- Tack coat must break: 57%
- Tack coat must set: 15%
- Requires the use of reduced-tracking tack materials: 15%
- Allows the use of reduced-tracking tack materials: 43%
- Requires the use of spray pavers: 15%
- Allows the use of spray pavers: 30%
- Sanding of tack coat materials after application: 15%
- Allows wetting/dampening of pavement before application of tack: 4%
- Other method: 15%
- Tracking or pickup continues to be a problem: 59%
Are spray pavers allowed?

- 57% Spray Pavers Allowed
- 43% Spray Pavers Not Allowed
Are different tack materials used with spray pavers?

- Different tack coat material used: 43% (16/28)
- Same tack coat material used: 57% (12/28)
What materials do you use with spray pavers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>polymer modified emulsion</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG 64-22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-tracking tack</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion Bonding Liquid (EBL)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSS-1h</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSS-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polymer modified CRS-1s</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS-1P</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQS-1hp</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What conditions can lead to tack being waived?

- 44%, Not applicable
- 14%, environmental conditions were deemed too poor
- 2%, asphalt pavement surface was milled prior to paving
- 0%, nighttime paving
- 6%, other reason
- 0%, PCC pavement surface was milled prior to paving
- 44%, second lift on “fresh” material
Bond Strength Testing?

- Yes: 38 (76%)
- No: 12 (24%)
When does your agency perform interface bond testing?

- **On every project**
- **By special provision**
- **For product evaluation**
- **As part of forensic investigations**
- **Other**
What is your minimum bond strength requirement?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TENSION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 PSI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 PSI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 PSI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHEAR</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 PSI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 PSI</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pavement failure types cited by agencies as potentially caused by poor bond strength.
Does your agency require any tests on tack coat?

Yes, 13
No, 36

Most common?
Standard emulsion tests.
Case Examples

• presents the results of in-depth communication with three states (KS, TX, WV) which were identified as candidates for further study

• information is presented regarding:
  – their histories with interface bond testing
  – a summary of their testing methods
  – discussion of how the implementation of additional focus on tack coats has progressed and been received in their state.
Appendices

• Survey Questions
• Summary of Survey Results
• Tack Coat Training Manual From NCHRP Report 712
• Kansas DOT Bond Strength Specs and Best Management Checklists
• Louisiana DOTD Tack Coat Inspector Checklists
Questions?