Ethical Critical Thinking Rubric

Student:				Date:			
Course:				Assessed by:			
Score:]					
Component	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Exp	pectations	Exceeds Expectations		
Identifies Dilemma	- Has a vague idea	of what the dilemma	- Correctly identifies	the dilemma,	- Correctly identifies the dilemma,		
	is.		including some perti	nent facts.	including most of the pertinent facts Explains exactly the decision that		
	- Is uncertain about	what decision needs	- Identifies, but does	•			
	to be made.		decision that needs t	o be made.	needs to be made.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
		pectations	Meets Expectations		Exceeds Expectations		
Considers	- Is unsure who will	be affected by the	- Correctly identifies some of the		- Correctly identifies the individuals and		
Stakeholders	decision.		individuals and group		groups who will be affected by the		
			affected by the decision.		decision and why they will be affected.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	Below Expectations		Meets Expectations		Exceeds Expectations		
	- Begins to appraise	the relevant facts	- Clearly explains at	least two alternative	- Clearly explains a number of		
Analyzes Alternatives	and assumptions.		courses of action.		alternative courses of action.		
and Consequences	-Identifies one poss	sible solution.	- Predicts some of th	ne consequences to	- Evaluates each based on the		
			those alternatives.		consequences to the various		
			_		stakeholders identified.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	•						
	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Exp	pectations	Exceeds Expectations		
Makes a Decision	- Has difficulty identifying an		- Suggests a specific	course of action.	- Suggests a specific	course of action.	
	appropriate course of action.						
	- Lacks an objective evaluation of		- Shows some effort to objectively		- Clarifies values used and trade-offs		
	alternatives.		evaluate alternatives; weighing of		made in reaching the	e recommendation.	
			factors is unclear.				

			Writing Rubric			
Student: Course:				Date: Assessed by:		
Score:						
Component	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Ex	(pectations	Exceeds Exp	pectations
Logic and Organization	- Does not develop in	- ,	 Develops ideas satisfactorily, although some portions need development. Has adequate transitions. 		 Develops ideas cogently, organizes them logically into paragraphs, uses effective transitions Has clear, insightful intro & conclusion. 	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Ex	(pectations	Exceeds Ex	oectations
Language	- Uses words and syn inadequate for clarity - Errors seriously dist		- Demonstrates suffi language to convey in clarity.		 - Uses words with flue effective, concise stand sentences - Uses syntactical varied 	dard English
	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Ex	rpectations	Exceeds Ex	pectations
Spelling and Grammar	- Has severe and pers	sistent errors.	- Generally follows the standard written Eng - Flaws do not interfe	lish.	- Uses standard writte essentially no errors.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Expectations		Meets Expectations		Exceeds Expectations	
Development of Ideas	 Lacks support for ideas. Confuses personal and external evidence. 		- Supports main points adequately.		 Explores ideas vigorously. Supports points fully, using a balance of detailed subjective and objective evidence. 	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Ex	pectations	Meets Ex	«pectations	Exceeds Ex	pectations

- Develops most points around a clear

3

purpose or focus.

2

- Has no clear purpose or focus.

1

0

Purpose

- Succinctly develops points around a

5

clearly defined purpose and focus.

Critical Thinking Rubric

Student: Course:				Date: Assessed by:	-	
Score:]		, 10000000 271		
Component	Below Expectations		Meets E	xpectations	Exceeds E	xpectations
Identifies & summarizes problem or issue	 Does not identify and summarize the problem. Is confused or identifies a different or inappropriate problem. 		- Identifies the main problem and subsidiary, embedded, or implicit aspects of the problem.		- Identifies not only the basics of the issue, but recognizes nuances of the issue.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Expectations		Meets E	xpectations	Exceeds Expectations	
Identify key assumptions	- Does not present assumptions that underlie the issue.		- Identifies some of the key assumptions that underlie the issue.		- Identifies and questions the validity of the key assumptions.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
Analyze & present supporting data/evidence	- Does not present supporting data/evidence, or offers biased interpretation of evidence.		Meets Expectations - Presents some analysis of data and supporting evidence.		- Analyzes salient data and presents supporting evidence.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
Clear Recommendation	- Does not make a clear recommendation.		- Makes a clear recommendation.		- Makes one or more clear recommendations which are insightful and/or creative.	
	Delevi Fi	1	2 Masta F	3	L 4	5 vnostations
Recommendation has appropriate course(s) of action	- Recommendation does not have appropriate course of action associated with it.		Meets Expectations - Clearly identifies course(s) of action with respect to issue.		Exceeds Expectations - Recommends course(s) of action that demonstrates creativity and ability to integrate knowledge.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Expectations		Meets Expectations		Exceeds Expectations	
Conclusions, implications, &	- Fails to identify conclusions, implications, & consequences.		- Identifies & discusses conclusions, implications, and consequence.		- Support position with arguments that are detailed and convincing.	
consequences	0	1	2	3	4	5
Effective Communication	- Difficult to follow meaning, poor structure, unclear ordering of ideas, misuse of words/concepts frequent grammatical errors		- Mostly clear writing/presentation, understandable flow of ideas, mostly grammatically correct sentences Writing does not interfere with the understanding of the content.		Exceeds Expectations - Very well-written with clear flow of ideas, choice of words made it easy to understand, the presentation holds together well.	
	0	1) 7	1 2	1	5

Oral Presentation Rubric

Student: Course:				Date: Assessed by:		
Score:						
Component	Below Exp	pectations	Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Ex	xpectations
Speed, volume, & diction	- Speed and/or volume of delivery was inappropriate.		- Speed occasionally too fast or too slow or volume occasionally tool loud or too soft		- Speed was approp	riate.
	- Diction made it difficult for audience to understand.		- Diction generally clear, easy to understand.		- Strong clear diction and volume.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Exp	ectations	Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Ex	kpectations
Speaking to the audience	- Most or all of the presentation appeared to be read or recited from memory.		- Generally spoke to the audience but occasionally spoke to the screen, ceiling floor or notes Occasionally sounded memorized		 Appeared to speak to the audience from a thorough understanding of the topic. Didn't read or sound memorized. 	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Exp		Meets Exp	-	Exceeds Ex	xpectations
Non-verbal delivery	 - Appeared very nervous. - Posture consistently inappropriate. - Over or under-use of gestures and movement 		- Somewhat stiff Too relaxed or too animated.		 Relaxed and poised Appropriate amount of movement and gestures. 	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Exp		Meets Exp			xpectations
Persuasion/Impact	Not very convincing.Little emphasis used.Little or no enthusiasm apparent.		Somewhat convincing.Some emphasis used.Little enthusiasm.		Convincing.Well used emphasis.Good enthusiasm when appropriate.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Exp	ectations	Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Ex	xpectations
Professionalism	- Frequent use of slang or casual language.- Less than professional attire.		Some jargon and slang used.Questionable attire for professional presentation.		- Professional language.- Appropriate attire.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Below Exp	pectations	Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Ex	xpectations
Team Work (if applicable)	- Awkward transitions between team members Speak over each other or repeat information		- Relatively smooth transitions between team members - Group members rarely speak out of turn or repeat information.		- Smooth transitions do not distract from the overall presentation Group members have clear roles within the presentation.	
	0	1	2	3	4	5