## College of Law Proposed Catalog Changes Effective Summer 2020

## 1. Make the following changes to the **J.D. in Law**:

# Law (J.D.)

Required course work includes the College of Law requirements and the following:

	LAW 805	Civil Procedure & Intro to Law	2
	LAW 806	Civil Procedure II	3
	LAW 807	Property	4
	LAW 809	Torts	4
	LAW 812	Criminal Law	3
	LAW 813	Contracts	2
	LAW 814	Contracts II	3
	LAW 815	Legal Writing and Analysis	0-5
	LAW 816	Constitutional Law I	3
	LAW 817	Academic Skills Lab I	<u>1</u>
	LAW 821	Legal Research	1
	LAW 859	Advanced Advocacy	<u>2</u>
	LAW 905	Constitutional Law II	3
	<del>LAW 907</del>	Administrative Law	3
	LAW 919	Business Associations	4
	LAW 950	Evidence	3
	LAW 962	Professional Responsibility	3
Select 6 credits from the following experiential learning courses:  6			6
	LAW 855	Water Law Practicum	
	<del>LAW 917</del>	Negotiation and Appropriate Dispute Resolution	
	LAW 932	Estate Planning	
	LAW 958	Trial Advocacy	
	<del>LAW 971</del>	Lawyering Process Seminar	
	LAW 974	Legal Aid Clinic	
	LAW 975	Classroom Credit Public Service Externship	
	LAW 978	Entrepreneurship Law Clinic	

47

LAW 986	<del>Judicial Clerkship Seminar</del>	
LAW 991	Skill Practicum	
LAW 994	Economic Development Clinic	
<del>LAW 995</del>	Main Street Law Clinic	
LAW 996	Immigration Litigation & Appellate Clinic	
LAW 997	Mediation Clinic	
LAW 998	Tax Clinic	
Plus six credits from the list of experiential learning courses approved by the College of		
Law Faculty and provided in the Law Student Handbook		
		<u>6</u>
	rth quartile the following courses are required; the courses are	
optional for other students with permission:		
<u>LAW 818</u>	Academic Skills Lab II	<u>2</u>
LAW 860	Applied Legal Reasoning	<u>3</u>
A maximum of 21 distance credits may be applied toward the J.D. No more than 6		
distance credits applied toward the J.D. may come from courses outside the College of		
Law unless earned pursuant to an approved concurrent degree program.		

To qualify for the J.D., the candidate must have a UI grade-point average of 2.30 or better. The GPA required for good academic standing is 2.30.

Satisfaction of the Upper-Division Writing Requirement through Law Review, or a Directed Study, or particular designated courses each year 50 Hours of uncompensated law related pro bono service

Total Hours <u>48-</u>
53

### Courses to total 90 credits for this degree.

Geographical Areas: Moscow, Boise

Rationale: The College of Law has adopted a new grading policy, requiring professors to give grades in a manner consistent with their colleagues. This was deemed essential after it became apparent that there was a serious divergence in approach to grading, with some professors being far more (or far less) generous with grades than others. This has generated some problems demanding attention. (1) The hard graders have dragged down the GPAs of our students overall, which is perceived to have created difficulties for our graduates in the job market. As Univ. of Idaho law graduates' GPAs have been lower than the GPAs of students coming out of most other law schools, it has been harder for our students to compete. (2) Students in one of the locations (Boise v. Moscow), taking the same courses, were being treated

unequally in terms of class rank (because, for example, if the professor teaching Contracts in Moscow is more generous than the professor teaching Contracts in Boise, the Moscow students will have higher GPAs than the Boise students). As class rank is a vital credential for law graduates, the result is not only unfair, but deeply divisive in our two-location college. Finally, (3) it appeared that students were taking certain classes because they knew they could get a good grade in them, and avoiding others whose professors were more stingy with grades. The new grading policy will not only normalize the grading (setting mandatory average grade ranges), but will result in somewhat higher grades and GPAs overall. At the same time, the College of Law (like all law schools) are under increasing scrutiny and pressure not to graduate students who are incapable of passing the bar exam. (The emphasis is that law schools should not be admitting students with poor prospects for success on the bar, that it is unethical to take the students' tuition money for three years and award them a degree when they have little reasonable prospect of ever becoming lawyers.) In recent years, law schools with low bar pass statistics have had their accreditations threatened, and in some cases revoked. If GPAs are going up at Univ. of Idaho, it is imperative that we also raise the minimum GPA for good standing and for graduation, to ensure that we don't generate significant numbers of graduates who are unlikely ever to be qualified to practice law.

As for Distance Education credits, the College of Law had previously applied a limit of 15 such credits that could be counted toward graduation, which was set not by the College of Law but by its accrediting body, the American Bar Association. Last year, the ABA relaxed this rule, and now permits up to 30 credits from "distance" classes to be counted toward the J.D. degree. The College of Law faculty was reluctant to simply apply the new ABA limit, and voted to expand the 15-hour limit to 21-hours instead. Just because we can allow up to 30 credits doesn't mean we should, particularly as concerns have been raised about possible compromises to the educational experience for students when distance technology is used. But with half the law school (and faculty) in Boise, we felt it was important to relax the limit somewhat – we depend heavily on distance education to offer our students in both locations a varied curriculum. Much of the downside of distance education is minimized by the way the College of Law approaches it, as all of our distance classes are conducted "live" – i.e. synchronously – with students in both locations, and professors who teach these courses are expected to go to the other location at some point in the semester to teach a couple of lectures from that site.

Because we cannot control the manner of delivery of distance education at other institutions,

Because we cannot control the manner of delivery of distance education at other institutions, and even in other Colleges at UI, we propose to limit the total number of allowable "distance" credits from outside the College of Law to six, "unless earned pursuant to an approved concurrent degree program."

2. Make the following changes to the J.D. in Law – Business Law and Entrepreneurship Emphasis:

## A. Business Law and Entrepreneurship Emphasis

Students pursuing this emphasis must earn a minimum grade of  $(C\pm')$  in each course taken to satisfy Business Law & Entrepreneurship emphasis requirements, plus a cumulative  $\frac{2.502.80}{2.80}$  grade point average over all courses used to satisfy Business Law & Entrepreneurship emphasis requirements.

-AW 919	Business Associations	4
_AW 925	Property Security	3
AW 930	Taxation	3-4
Select one paper class from the following: 4		
LAW 981	Critical Legal Studies Journal	
LAW 982	Law Review	
LAW 983	Directed Study	
Select one skills clas	ss from the following:	2-3
LAW 912	Civil Mediation	
LAW 917	Negotiation and Appropriate Dispute Resolution	
LAW 932	Estate Planning	
LAW 978	Entrepreneurship Law Clinic	
LAW 994	Economic Development Clinic	
LAW 998	Tax Clinic	
Select one of the fo	llowing groups of courses:	12
Group A, Commercial Law Track		
LAW 923	Payment Systems	

Sales **LAW 924** 

Select 6 credits from the following:

LAW 854 **Corporate Taxation** LAW 907 Administrative Law LAW 926 Bankruptcy LAW 927 Partnership and LLC Taxation LAW 984 **Real Estate Transactions** LAW 990 Consumer Law

## **Group B, Enterprise Organization Track**

Select 12 credits from the following:

LAW 851	Advanced Torts
LAW 854	Corporate Taxation
LAW 903	Introduction to Intellectual Property
LAW 907	Administrative Law
LAW 908	Workplace Law
LAW 910	Antitrust
LAW 920	Securities Regulation

LAW 921	Accounting for Lawyers
LAW 922	Trademarks and Trade Dress
LAW 924	Sales
LAW 927	Partnership and LLC Taxation
LAW 984	Real Estate Transactions
LAW 992	White Collar Crime

#### **Group C, Intellectual Property and Technology Law Track**

LAW 903 Introduction to Intellectual Property

Select two classes from the following:

LAW 922 Trademarks and Trade Dress

LAW 931 Patents
LAW 980 Copyrights

Select 5 credits from the following:

LAW 907 Administrative Law

LAW 910 Antitrust

LAW 918 Internet Law

LAW 922 Trademarks and Trade Dress

LAW 931 Patents
LAW 980 Copyrights

LAW 989 Mass Media Law

Total Hours 28-30

#### Courses to total 90 credits for this degree

Geographical Areas: Moscow, Boise

Rationale: The College of Law has adopted a new grading policy, requiring professors to give grades in a manner consistent with their colleagues. This was deemed essential after it became apparent that there was a serious divergence in approach to grading, with some professors being far more (or far less) generous with grades than others. This has generated some problems demanding attention. (1) The hard graders have dragged down the GPAs of our students overall, which is perceived to have created difficulties for our graduates in the job market. As Univ. of Idaho law graduates' GPAs have been lower than the GPAs of students coming out of most other law schools, it has been harder for our students to compete. (2) Students in one of the locations (Boise v. Moscow), taking the same courses, were being treated unequally in terms of class rank (because, for example, if the professor teaching Contracts in Moscow is more generous than the professor teaching Contracts in Boise, the Moscow students will have higher GPAs than the Boise students). As class rank is a vital credential for law graduates, the result is not only unfair, but deeply divisive in our two-location college. Finally,

- (3) it appeared that students were taking certain classes because they knew they could get a good grade in them, and avoiding others whose professors were more stingy with grades. The new grading policy will not only normalize the grading (setting mandatory average grade ranges), but will result in somewhat higher grades and GPAs overall; accordingly the minimum grades and GPA for this emphasis need to be raised. We have also struck a couple of courses from the list of available courses for the emphasis, because they are no longer offered, and we do not expect them to be offered again in the foreseeable future.
- 3. Make the following changes to the J.D. in Law Native American Law Emphasis:

## C. Native American Law Emphasis

Students pursuing this emphasis must earn a minimum grade of  $(C\pm')$  in each course taken to satisfy Native American Law emphasis requirements, plus a cumulative  $\frac{2.50}{2.80}$  grade point average over all courses used to satisfy Native American Law emphasis requirements.

LAW 949	Native American Law	3
LAW 928	Tribal Nation Economics and Law	3
or LAW 979	Native American Natural Resource Law	
Select one of the following Tracks:		6

## **Economic Development Track** <sup>1</sup>

Select 6 credits from the following:

LAW 903	Introduction to Intellectual Property
LAW 907	Administrative Law
LAW 908	Workplace Law
LAW 910	Antitrust
LAW 919	Business Associations
LAW 921	Accounting for Lawyers
LAW 923	Payment Systems
LAW 925	Property Security
LAW 926	Bankruptcy
LAW 927	Partnership and LLC Taxation
LAW 930	Taxation
LAW 931	Patents
LAW 932	Estate Planning
LAW 945	Community Property
LAW 984	Real Estate Transactions (Max 3 credits)

## Family Law Track 1

Select 6 credits from the following:

LAW 913 Family Mediation
LAW 940 International Human Rights

LAW 941 Wills, Estates, and Trusts

LAW 963 Family Law

LAW 964 Children and the Law

LAW 965 Elder Law

<u>LAW 968</u> <u>Domestic Violence and the Law</u>

LAW 985 Immigration Law and Policy

### **Governance Track <sup>1</sup>**

Select 6 credits from the following:

LAW 904 Federal Courts

LAW 907 Administrative Law

LAW 908 Workplace Law

LAW 912 Civil Mediation

LAW 916 Public International Law

LAW 917 Negotiation and Appropriate Dispute Resolution

LAW 934 Land-Use Law and Planning

LAW 944 State and Local Government Law

LAW 959 Critical Legal Studies

LAW 960 Conflict of Laws

## Natural Resource Management Track <sup>1</sup>

Select 6 credits from the following:

LAW 906 Natural Resource Law Seminar
LAW 934 Land-Use Law and Planning

LAW 937 Wildlife Law and Policy

LAW 938 International Environmental and Water Law

LAW 939 Law, Science, and the Environment

LAW 942 Water Law I

LAW 946 Water and Energy Policy Seminar

LAW 947 Environmental Law

LAW 948 Public Lands and Resources Law

LAW 951 Environmental Policy

LAW 969 Water Law II

#### Self Directed Track 1

Six credits in courses pre-approved by the Native American Law Advisor emphasis advisor Total Hours

12

#### Courses to total 90 credits for this degree

Students must complete one of these tracks. Substitution from UI and WSU graduate courses allowed for one course in each track with pre-approval of Native American Law Emphasis Advisor.

Rationale: The College of Law has adopted a new grading policy, requiring professors to give grades in a manner consistent with their colleagues. This was deemed essential after it became apparent that there was a serious divergence in approach to grading, with some professors being far more (or far less) generous with grades than others. This has generated some problems demanding attention. (1) The hard graders have dragged down the GPAs of our students overall, which is perceived to have created difficulties for our graduates in the job market. As Univ. of Idaho law graduates' GPAs have been lower than the GPAs of students coming out of most other law schools, it has been harder for our students to compete. (2) Students in one of the locations (Boise v. Moscow), taking the same courses, were being treated unequally in terms of class rank (because, for example, if the professor teaching Contracts in Moscow is more generous than the professor teaching Contracts in Boise, the Moscow students will have higher GPAs than the Boise students). As class rank is a vital credential for law graduates, the result is not only unfair, but deeply divisive in our two-location college. Finally, (3) it appeared that students were taking certain classes because they knew they could get a good grade in them, and avoiding others whose professors were more stingy with grades. The new grading policy will not only normalize the grading (setting mandatory average grade ranges), but will result in somewhat higher grades and GPAs overall; accordingly the minimum grades and GPA for this emphasis need to be raised. We have also struck a couple of courses from the list of available courses for the emphasis, because they are no longer offered, and we do not expect them to be offered again in the foreseeable future.

4. Make the following changes to the **J.D. in Law – Natural Resources and Environmental Law Emphasis**:

## D. Natural Resources and Environmental Law Emphasis

Students pursuing this emphasis must earn a minimum grade of 'C±' in each course taken to satisfy Natural Resources and Environmental Law emphasis requirements, plus a cumulative 2.502.80 grade point average over all courses used to satisfy Natural Resources and Environmental Law emphasis requirements.

LAW 907	Administrative Law	3
LAW 971	Lawyering Process Seminar	2
Select 10 credits fr	rom the following:	10
LAW 852	Natural Resource and Environmental Law Field Course	
LAW 855	Water Law Practicum	
LAW 906	Natural Resource Law Seminar	
LAW 934	Land-Use Law and Planning	
LAW 937	Wildlife Law and Policy	
LAW 938	International Environmental and Water Law	
LAW 939	Law, Science, and the Environment	
LAW 942	Water Law I	
LAW 946	Water and Energy Policy Seminar	
LAW 947	Environmental Law	
LAW 948	Public Lands and Resources Law	
LAW 951	Environmental Policy	
LAW 969	Water Law II	
LAW 979	Native American Natural Resource Law	
LAW 994	Economic Development Clinic	
WR 506	Interdisciplinary Methods in Water Resources	
Total Hours		15

## Courses to total 90 credits for this degree

Geographical Areas: Moscow, Boise

Rationale: The College of Law has adopted a new grading policy, requiring professors to give grades in a manner consistent with their colleagues. This was deemed essential after it became apparent that there was a serious divergence in approach to grading, with some professors being far more (or far less) generous with grades than others. This has generated some problems demanding attention. (1) The hard graders have dragged down the GPAs of our students overall, which is perceived to have created difficulties for our graduates in the job market. As Univ. of Idaho law graduates' GPAs have been lower than the GPAs of students coming out of most other law schools, it has been harder for our students to compete. (2) Students in one of the locations (Boise v. Moscow), taking the same courses, were being treated unequally in terms of class rank (because, for example, if the professor teaching Contracts in Moscow is more generous than the professor teaching Contracts in Boise, the Moscow students will have higher GPAs than the Boise students). As class rank is a vital credential for law graduates, the result is not only unfair, but deeply divisive in our two-location college. Finally, (3) it appeared that students were taking certain classes because they knew they could get a good grade in them, and avoiding others whose professors were more stingy with grades.

The new grading policy will not only normalize the grading (setting mandatory average grade ranges), but will result in somewhat higher grades and GPAs overall; accordingly the minimum grades and GPA for this emphasis need to be raised. We have also struck a couple of courses from the list of available courses for the emphasis, because they are no longer offered, and we do not expect them to be offered again in the foreseeable future.