The impact of coniferous forest temperature on incoming longwave radiation to melting snow
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Abstract:

Measurements were conducted in coniferous forests of differing density, insolation and latitude to test whether air temperatures are suitable surrogates for canopy temperature in estimating sub-canopy longwave irradiance to snow. Air temperature generally was a good representation of canopy radiative temperature under conditions of low insolation. However during high insolation, needle and branch temperatures were well estimated by air temperature only in relatively dense canopies and exceeded air temperatures elsewhere. Tree trunks exceeded air temperatures in all canopies during high insolation, with the relatively hottest trunks associated with direct interception of sunlight, sparse canopy cover and dead trees. The exitance of longwave radiation from these relatively warm canopies exceeded that calculated assuming canopy temperature was equal to air temperature. This enhancement was strongly related to the extinction of shortwave radiation by the canopy. Estimates of sub-canopy longwave irradiance using either two-energy source or two thermal regime approaches to evaluate the contribution of canopy longwave exitance performed better than did estimates that used only air temperature and sky view. However, there was little evidence that such corrections are necessary under cloudy or low solar insolation conditions. The longwave enhancement effect due to shortwave extinction was important to sub-canopy longwave irradiance to snow during clear, sunlit conditions. Longwave enhancement increased with increasing solar elevation angle and decreasing air temperature. Its relative importance to longwave irradiance to snow was insensitive to canopy density. As errors from ignoring enhanced longwave contributions from the canopy accumulate over the winter season, it is important for snow energy balance computations to include the enhancement in order to better calculate snow internal energy and therefore the timing and magnitude of snowmelt and sublimation. Copyright © 2009 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

The warming, melting and ablation of sub-canopy snow is a crucial event in the annual cycle of a cold regions forest and governs the hydrology and atmospheric interaction of the forest. The timing and spatial distribution of snow meltwater production controls the meltwater hydrograph shape, peak and duration, initiates soil thawing and transpiration and governs the decay of surface albedo. Radiation is usually the primary source of energy for snowmelt under forest canopies (Link and Marks, 1999) because turbulent transfer is strongly attenuated by the canopy structure (Harding and Pomeroy, 1996; Pomeroy and Granger, 1997; Marks et al., 2008). Both short and longwave radiation are important to snowmelt (Sicart et al., 2004), with the relative contribution from each depending on snowcover albedo, cloudiness and canopy density. Whilst shortwave radiation under forest canopies has received much recent attention from both observations and model development (Wilson and Petzold, 1973; Pomeroy and Dion, 1996; Davis et al., 1997; Hardy et al., 1997; Ni et al., 1997; Nijssen and Lettenmaier, 1999; Gryning et al., 2001; Hardy et al., 2004; Link et al., 2004; Ellis and Pomeroy, 2007; Pomeroy et al., 2008), less attention has been paid to longwave radiation effects on snowmelt except for the case of sparse canopies (Woo and Giebrecht, 2000). It is generally assumed that longwave radiation to snow can be partitioned between that deriving from a sky view component (Price and Petzold, 1984) and that deriving from a canopy view component with an effective temperature equal to air temperature.

It is the purpose of this paper to examine for continental forests during the premelt and snowmelt periods whether

1. the canopy temperature can be approximated by the air temperature,
2. extinction of shortwave radiation in the canopy is a significant mechanism of additional warming of the canopy,
3. canopy warming enhances longwave irradiance to snow.

For cases when the canopy temperature exceeds the air temperature, strategies to estimate the effect of enhanced canopy temperatures on snowmelt radiation under forest canopies will be described and tested.

**THEORY**

A major source of net radiation under forest canopies is incoming longwave radiation (Sicart et al., 2004). This is usually estimated by segregation of the component passing through the canopy from the sky ($L_a$ ↓) from the component emitted by the canopy itself ($L_c$ ↓) using the sky view factor, $V_r$, where

\[ L \downarrow = V_r L_a \downarrow + (1 - V_r) L_c \downarrow \]  

(1)

Using the Stefan–Boltzmann equation and assuming that sky and canopy are grey bodies, i.e. the sub-canopy longwave irradiance can be estimated from the temperatures of the emitting sources by,

\[ L \downarrow = V_f (\varepsilon_{sky} \sigma T^4_{sky}) + (1 - V_f) (\varepsilon_{can} \sigma T^4_{can}) \]  

(2)

where $\varepsilon$ is a dimensionless effective emissivity for sky or canopy (can), $\sigma$ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant ($5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-4}$) and $T$ represents an effective temperature (K) of sky or canopy given the form and assumptions of Equation 2. The emissivity is also effective because reflectance of longwave radiation is not explicitly addressed in Equation 2 and because air temperature near the surface is often substituted for the temperature of the radiating portion of the atmosphere.

Many models (Marks et al., 1999; Pomeroy et al., 2007) make further simplification of Equation 2 by assuming that sky emissions of longwave occur in the lower atmosphere and can be represented by the air temperature and that the temperature of the canopy can be approximated by the air temperature, $T_a$ so that longwave irradiance is a function of the two variables: air temperature and sky emissivity and two parameters: sky view factor and canopy emissivity, so that;

\[ L \downarrow = \sigma T^4_a (V_f \varepsilon_{air} + (1 - V_f) \varepsilon_{can}) \]  

(3)

Sicart et al. (2006) have shown a method for estimating $\varepsilon_{sky}$ during snowmelt in western and northern Canada from standard atmospheric variables and a measure of cloudiness, whilst many sources (e.g. Oke, 1987) cite the effective emissivity of forest canopy $\varepsilon_{can} = 0.98$ (slightly higher than the actual emissivity).

A very important assumption of Equation 3 is that $T_a = T_{sky} = T_{can}$. Correct estimation of canopy temperature is essential to the calculation of forest longwave exitance, which is the sum of emission and reflection of longwave radiation. As Sicart et al. (2004) showed, whilst in low sun angle, cloudy environments, or in dense forest where tree stems are not frequently sunlit, there is little opportunity for the canopy temperature to differ from air temperature. However in sunny environments where direct insolation to tree trunks, branches and needles occurs, warming of canopy elements by shortwave radiation may result in enhanced longwave exitance from the canopy. It is therefore possible that in some cases the key assumption behind Equation 3 is not met. This is a common feature of sunlit vegetation and is the reason why vegetation canopies can be a source of sensible heat in winter conditions (Harding and Pomeroy, 1996; Gryning et al., 2001; Pomeroy et al., 2006).

Rowlands et al. (2002) show that canopy temperatures over melting snow can greatly exceed air temperatures in sunny late winter conditions in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado.

Some atmospheric models such as Canadian Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) (Verseghy and McFarlane, 1993) include canopy energetic formulations to resolve a canopy temperature. These techniques have been incorporated into a few hydrological models (Parviainen and Pomeroy, 2000), but in general, hydrological models have not gone into such detailed energetics calculations because of over-parameterisation and resulting parameter uncertainty. An alternative method is to assume that some portion of shortwave energy absorbed by a canopy is emitted downwards as longwave energy and contributes to sub-canopy longwave irradiance. Sub-canopy longwave emission due to shortwave heating of canopy $L \downarrow_H$ is then a function of the amount of shortwave energy extinguished in the canopy $K^*_H$, where

\[ L \downarrow_H = B K^*_H \]  

(4)

and $B$ is a dimensionless shortwave to longwave transfer efficiency function. Assuming that only first order multiple sub-canopy reflection is important and that $K^*_H$ is defined for a canopy height, $H$, then,

\[ K^*_H = K \downarrow - K \uparrow - K_{c} \downarrow + K_{c} \uparrow \]  

(5)

Noting that $K \uparrow = K \downarrow \alpha_c$, where $\alpha_c$ is above canopy albedo, $K_c \downarrow = K \downarrow \tau$, where $\tau$ is the canopy transmittance to shortwave radiation, and $K_c \uparrow = K \uparrow \alpha_s = K \uparrow \tau \alpha_s$, where $\alpha_s$ is the snow albedo, then,

\[ K^*_H = K \downarrow [1 - \alpha_c - \tau (1 - \alpha_s)] \]  

(6)

Values of $\alpha_s$, ranging from 0.08 to 0.18 have been reported by Pomeroy and Dion (1996) and Betts and Ball (1997) for boreal forests. Melloh et al. (2002) have investigated sub-canopy snowpack albedo, $\alpha_s$, over a winter and found it in the range 0.8–0.9.

To find $\tau$, Pomeroy and Dion (1996) derived a simple algorithm for relatively continuous canopies from geometric optics, (van de Hulst, 1980);

\[ \tau = e^{-\frac{Q_{can}LAI'}{\sin(\beta)}} \]  

(7)
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where $Q_{\text{ext}}$ is the extinction efficiency, $LAI'$ is the effective winter plant area index, and $\beta$ is the solar elevation angle above the horizon. Pomeroy and Dion (1996) found that the extinction efficiency was a function of daily mean solar angle, because of the relatively horizontal orientation of small canopy elements and gap configurations (most canopies have some gaps).

**METHODS**

Experiments to examine the canopy temperatures, shortwave extinction and effects on longwave irradiance to snow under canopies were conducted in level Rocky Mountain lodgepole pine stands in the US Forest Service, Fraser Experimental Forest near Fraser, Colorado, USA (39°59'N; 105°59'W; 2780 m above sea level)—Hardy et al. 2004 and Pomeroy et al. 2008 for detailed site description) and in Marmot Creek Research Basin in the Kananaskis valley of Alberta, Canada (50°57'N, 115°09'W; 1530 m above sea level)—Ellis and Pomeroy, 2007 for detailed site description). The Fraser sites consisted of a uniform lodgepole pine stand and an adjacent discontinuous, highly heterogeneous pine stand. The uniform pine had relatively even spacing between trees from thinning. Trees in the discontinuous site were of mixed species (predominantly lodgepole pine with some Engelmann spruce and subalpine fir) with heterogeneous spacing between trees. The Marmot site was a very mature, dense, natural lodgepole pine stand with variable spacing between trees. Data used to evaluate shortwave extinction as a function of leaf area index and solar angle in pine were collected in a mature boreal jack pine canopy near Waskesiu, Saskatchewan, Canada (53°52'N; 106°08'W; 540 m above sea level) that was originally described by Pomeroy and Dion (1996). Data collection at Fraser focussed on a 3-day period during the NASA Cold Land Processes Experiment (CLPX), Hardy et al. (2008) Intensive Observation Period 2 (IOP2) from 27 to 29 March 2002 (days 86–88). The snowpack was isothermal and actively melting during this period and had become patchy in the discontinuous pine stand. At Marmot Creek, data collection focussed on 15–18 March 2005 (days 74–77) when a shallow snowpack was isothermal and actively melting. Weather conditions for the experimental days are summarized in Table I. At all sites, radiometers were inspected several times a day and cleaned if required to prevent frost accumulation, however the weather conditions were ideal for these measurements and there was very little frost and no snowfall during the study.

Narrow-beam thermal infrared radiometers (Exergen infrared thermocouples (IRTC)) and hypodermic needle style thermocouples (Omega HYP-0 TC) controlled by Campbell Scientific 23X dataloggers were used to measure surface temperatures of main tree trunks, small branches and pine needles. In the uniform stand at Fraser and at Marmot Creek, IRTCs were used to measure needle, branch and trunk temperatures as there was a continuous view of the surfaces in question and the narrow-beam view provided an ideal spatially averaged measurement. At the Fraser uniform stand, IRTCs measured the trunk of a living pine (~1 m above the snow) and the needles-branches of several trees, viewed obliquely from below. At Marmot Creek, IRTCs were placed to measure needle-branch temperature and trunk temperature from both north and south sides and measurements were averaged. In the discontinuous stand at Fraser there were insufficient continuous, uniform fields of view for the IRTCs and so TCs were used to gather point temperature measurements. A TC inserted via a hypodermic needle (Omega HYP-0, 0.2 mm), threaded through the exterior of rough bark, measured the skin temperature of a dead tree trunk that was well exposed to the sun. This hypodermic TC received some direct solar radiation and had a continuous contact surface conducting heat from the trunk. Fine wire TCs (0.13 mm) were attached by thread to the surface of needles or branches to measure their surface temperatures in trees that were well exposed to the sun. These fine wire TCs received some direct solar radiation and had a continuous contact surface with the branch or needles. An intercomparison of the IRTC and hypodermic TC temperature measurements where the TC was attached to the outside of a trunk at Fraser showed a mean difference $TC - IRTC = -0.089 \, ^\circC$ with an RMSD (root mean square difference) $= 0.839 \, ^\circC$ from 865 measurements in sun and shade. This mean difference is within the measurement error of thermocouples in this environment.

Air temperatures above (18 m above ground) and below (3 m above ground) the uniform canopy at Fraser were measured using shielded Vaisala HMP35 hygrothermometers. Air temperatures at 2 m above ground were measured using a shielded Vaisala HMP45CF at Marmot Creek.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Minimum air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Maximum air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean shortwave irradiance (W m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27 March</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Fraser</td>
<td>Overcast</td>
<td>−6.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 March</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Fraser</td>
<td>Clear sky</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 March</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Fraser</td>
<td>Clear sky</td>
<td>−7.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 March</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Marmot</td>
<td>Partly cloudy</td>
<td>−6.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 March</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Marmot</td>
<td>Overcast</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 March</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Marmot</td>
<td>Mostly clear</td>
<td>−10.7</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 March</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Marmot</td>
<td>Partly cloudy</td>
<td>−10.2</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Weather conditions and mean shortwave irradiance for each day at each site.
At Fraser, small arrays of four Eppley PIR pyrgeometers (previously cross calibrated) were used to measure irradiance under the uniform and discontinuous stands. In the uniform stand, pyrgeometers were located by selecting a random distance and angle from a central distribution point. In the discontinuous stand, pyrgeometer placement also considered proximity to tree trunks and placement in canopy gaps so that one pyrgeometer was in a canopy gap and one was placed within 1-5 m of a tree and near to other trees. A Kipp and Zonen CG3 pyrgeometer and CG1 pyranometer on a 20 m tower provided above canopy reference irradiance for Fraser. At Marmot Creek, arrays of 10 Eppley PIR and two Kipp and Zonen CG1 pyrgeometers were placed randomly. A reference site was established in a large clearing near this stand for measuring incoming longwave and shortwave from open sky using CG1 and CG3 radiometers. Digital thermograms were collected at Fraser using a thermoelastic thermal infrared imaging radiometer (Infrared Solutions) that had been modified to operate over the temperature range from –27 to +20°C, with a spectral range of 8 to 12 µm, absolute accuracy of 1-2°C and differential accuracy of 0.1°C. Each digital thermogram was 120 × 120 pixels. The instrument was mounted on a tripod and controlled from a linked laptop computer to obtain an image of canopy needle-branches, trunk and surface snow every 15 min.

FOREST CANOPY AND AIR TEMPERATURES

The thermal structure of the sub-canopy environment was first examined using observations from the thermal infrared imaging radiometer (Figure 1). These images allow for visualization and interpretation of thermal structure under the discontinuous canopy. The temperatures shown were all calculated using an emissivity of 1-0 and actual emissivities vary slightly from this value. The area shown in Figure 1b was under intense solar irradiation in the morning of day 88, when the index surface temperatures on the trunk reached nearly 20°C. Afternoon shading resulted in the index temperature distribution narrowing. Three elements of the scene were quantified. The ground elements (shrubs and snow) were restrained in their index temperature range despite similar radiative outputs. This reflects both differing actual emissivities and snow temperatures that were restricted by the 0°C limit upon which further energy goes into phase change rather than an increase in temperature. The ‘hot spots’ evident on the trunk play an important role in snow ablation as a bare patch developed near the tree trunk. This is consistent with observations by Faria et al. (2000) that showed more rapid snow ablation near the trunk in such environments.

Because of the variable and elevated canopy temperatures seen in Figure 1, the assumption that \( T_a = T_{can} \) was tested. To test this, canopy temperatures measured using the IRTC and TC in the continuous and discontinuous stands at Fraser and at Marmot were compared to air temperatures measured under the homogeneous canopy and, at Fraser, above the canopy (Figure 2).

The atmosphere at Fraser was generally stable with colder temperatures below canopy (3 m height) than above (18 m height). Temperature depressions below the homogeneous canopy were ~1°C during all daytime
Figure 2. Needle-branch, trunk and air temperatures in the Fraser (a) uniform and (b) discontinuous stands and at (c) Marmot Creek during the longwave radiation experiments.

periods and on cloudy nights and up to 3°C on clear nights, with an overall mean of 1.9°C and a RMS (root mean square) difference of 2.1°C. Only sub-canopy air temperature measurements were available from Marmot and so stability was not assessed there.

At both Fraser and Marmot, substantial differences between sub-canopy air, needle-branch and trunk temperatures were evident over all periods of analysis at all sites. Needle-branch and air temperatures generally matched each other well (as close as 0.1°C) at night and on cloudy days (days 86 Fraser, 74 Marmot); only where inversions developed at night (early morning day 88 Fraser) did sub-canopy air temperatures drop below needle-branch temperatures (by up to 1.5°C). Trunk temperatures generally remained warmer than needle-branch and air temperatures at night, with strongest effects (4.5 to 7.0°C) on clear nights. The living trunks at the uniform Fraser stand and the Marmot stand remained warmer at night than the dead trunk in the discontinuous Fraser stand despite similar diameters. This suggests a hypothesis that water stored in the trunks of living trees could exert a control on heat storage and hence on trunk cooling at night and warming during sunlit periods, however this hypothesis could not be explored with this experiment. During the day the trunks in all stands became substantially warmer than air with the largest warming on clear days. The most extreme relative heating was for the well-exposed dead trunk in the discontinuous Fraser stand which reached a temperature of over 43°C during a clear, high sun period when air temperature was ~5°C, a difference of 38°C. Differences were smaller but still substantial for the living trunks and needle-branches in the Marmot and uniform Fraser.
stands, which were 5–15°C warmer than air temperature when sunlit. In contrast, needle-branches in the uniform stand tracked sub-canopy air temperature rather closely (normally within 0.5°C) during all days. On cloudy days, canopy-air temperature differences were subdued except in the Fraser discontinuous stand where the trunk was up to 12°C warmer than air. Above canopy air temperatures were sometimes a good match for continuous stand needle-branch temperatures but otherwise were not well matched by any canopy elements.

The colder air under forest canopies has important implications for canopy temperature calculations because standard meteorological stations in forest clearings are influenced by both above and below-canopy air. In large clearings, it is expected that above canopy temperatures will dominate; however, a problem in interpreting clear-air temperatures is that the degree of mixing between sub-canopy and above canopy air in a clearing will vary with wind speed, stability, clearing size and exposure to wind (Taylor et al., 1998). In specialized forest snowmelt studies, there are sometimes sub-canopy air temperature measurements made, but in atmospheric model snowmelt calculations the ‘reference’ lower atmospheric layer is usually well above the canopy. The choice of measurement height is clearly important and a possible cause of errors in estimating canopy temperature.

LONGWAVE EXITANCE

The longwave exitance (emission and reflection) from various sources to the sub-canopy snow was measured using above canopy pyrgeometers (sky), and estimated using IRTC narrow-beam radiometers (canopy and trunk), hygrothermometers (air) and TC (trunk and needle-branches). Canopy and air temperatures were converted to exitance in W m⁻² using the Stefan–Boltzmann equation and an effective emissivity of 0.98 (Oke, 1987). Calculations of exitance from air with such a high emissivity are an index to the exitance from a forest surface at the same temperature as the sub-canopy air. As shown in Table II and Figure 3 the major difference was that between exitances from the sky and that from canopy elements. This difference between sky and canopy was greatest for clear periods, (day 87, 88 and mid-day 76) where it was on the order of 100 W m⁻². However, on some cloudy nights the sky and canopy exitances were extremely well matched.

Table II and Figure 3 show that the needle-branch and air temperature-based exitances generally agreed well in the uniform Fraser stand with the needle-branch exitance being slightly higher than that from surfaces at the air temperature. The largest differences (18 W m⁻², needle-branch > air) were on clear nights when strong inversions occurred. Needle-branch and air temperature-based exitances were in excellent agreement with the Marmot stand and the discontinuous Fraser stand at night and on cloudy days but needle-branch exitances exceeded air temperatures during sunlit periods with the largest differences of 20–34 W m⁻² occurring during clear days.

As a result of variable needle-branch warming during the day at Marmot and Fraser (and cold sub-canopy air temperatures at night at Fraser) mean differences and RMS differences between needle-branch and air temperature-based exitances were double in the discontinuous Fraser stand compared to values at Marmot, with intermediate values at the uniform Fraser stand (Table II).

Substantial differences were found between trunk and air temperature-derived exitances with the largest differences of 76–226 W m⁻² corresponding to periods of day time heating resulting from increased shortwave extinction. Decreased differences of 20–30 W m⁻², between exitances were observed on cold, clear nights due to trunks remaining warm throughout the night. As a result, mean differences in exitance between trunk and air temperature-derived values varied from 10 to 24 W m⁻² over the range of conditions with RMS differences of 15–50 W m⁻². Tree trunks were not observed to remain cold during the day due to thermal lag, though this has been observed in dense northern boreal forests during melt (Sicart et al., 2004) and might be expected in these sub-alpine forests in the low insolation, cold mid-winter period.

ESTIMATING SUB-CANOPY LONGWAVE IRRADIANCE

A re-analysis of data collected by Pomeroy and Dion (1996) at half-hourly intervals (562 measurements with regularly cleaned radiometers over and under a boreal pine stand near Waskesiu, Saskatchewan, Canada in winter 1993–1994) and inversion of Equation 7 with \( \text{LAI}' = 2.2 \), provides for a simple empirical relationship between extinction efficiency, \( Q_{\text{ext}} \), and solar elevation angle above the horizon, \( \beta \), that is more robust than the relationship developed from daily values as proposed by Pomeroy and Dion (1996),

\[
Q_{\text{ext}} = 1.081 \beta \cos(\beta), \quad r^2 = 0.85
\]  

The observations and form of Equation 8 are shown in Figure 4.
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Figure 3. Longwave exitance from sky (measured) and estimated with emissivity of 0.98 from measured temperatures of needle-branches, trunk and sub-canopy air. (a) Fraser uniform canopy, (b) Fraser discontinuous canopy, (c) Marmot Creek

Figure 4. Winter 1993–1994 Waskesiu Pine Site. Modelled extinction efficiency from solar elevation angle (line) and that estimated from observations (points)

Pomeroy et al. (1997) collected radiation data using the same techniques as Pomeroy and Dion (1996) for several subsequent years at the same site. Using shortwave radiation observations and measured LAI, Equations 5, 6, and 7 were used to estimate $K_{HI}$ for a 3-month winter period in 1995. The results suggest that the method is stable and sufficiently reliable to estimate canopy extinction of radiation (Figure 5). Unfortunately, reliable incoming longwave radiation and canopy temperatures were not available from this experiment, and so the direct influence of shortwave extinction on sub-canopy longwave irradiance could not be estimated at the time. This function was therefore tested at the Marmot and Fraser forests.

Irradiance to the snow surface was modelled in three manners, each having differing assumptions, data and parameter requirements.
1. Assuming that downwelling thermal radiation derives from only two sources, sky and canopy and that the sub-canopy air temperature can be taken as equal to the mean canopy temperature (Equation 3).

2. Assuming that downwelling thermal radiation derives from three distinctive thermal surfaces: sky, needle-branches and trunk. The observed spatial variability of canopy temperature shown in Figure 1 is assumed to be primarily captured by segregating the canopy into needle-branches and trunk elements, without further division into sunlit and shaded canopy portions. An equation describing this is:

\[
L \downarrow = V_I \varepsilon_{air} T_{air}^4 + (1 - V_I) \left[ (n_f \varepsilon_n T_n^4) + ((1 - n_I) \varepsilon_I T_I^4) \right].
\]

(9)

Where \( n_I \) is the needle-branch fraction of the canopy, i.e. the proportion of the canopy view that is needle-branches (from 0 to 1) and where the subscripts \( n \) and \( t \) denote the needle-branch and trunk components of the canopy. Note that if the air temperature is used in substitute for needle-branch temperature when \( n_I \) approaches 1-0, then Equation 9 approaches Equation 3 in its behaviour.

3. Assuming that downwelling thermal radiation derives from three energy sources, sky, longwave irradiance, irradiance due to canopy elements at the air temperature, and irradiance due to canopy elements heated above the air temperature by extinction of shortwave radiation, where,

\[
L \downarrow = \sigma T_{air}^4 \left( V_I \varepsilon_{air} + (1 - V_I) \varepsilon_{can} \right) + BK^* H.
\]

(10)

And \( \varepsilon_{air} \) represents the emissivity of the sky.

The three models were evaluated in the following manner. The sky view fraction and transmissivity were estimated using the diffuse shortwave transmissivity measured with arrays of Eppley shortwave radiometers (10–12 radiometers) at each site and a reference open sky site. However the needle-branch fraction of the canopy could not be measured directly. The parameter \( n_f \) was estimated by assuming that Equation 9 was correct and using measured needle-branch, trunk temperatures and sky longwave exitance and Equation 9 to estimate sub-canopy longwave irradiance. Figure 6 shows the RMS error and mean bias for Equation 9 using measured values and varying the needle-branch fraction of canopy.

The minimum RMS error was found for needle-branch fractions between 0-6 and 0-75, however the smallest mean bias was found for needle-branch fractions of \( \sim 1 \) (Fraser stands) and 0-3 (Marmot). Further modelling used minimized RMS error to select the needle-branch fraction, in an effort to most effectively simulate the effect of heating of the trunk and needle-branch canopy elements above air temperature.

The dimensionless shortwave to longwave transfer efficiency function, \( B \), in Equation 4 is unknown and so was investigated at the sites by solving for \( B \) from measured \( K^* H \) and measured components of Equation 10. The results are shown in Figure 7 and from the slope of the relationship between \( K^* H \) and the difference between longwave irradiance predicted by Equation 3 (sky irradiance and air temperature based) and that measured, the best fit value of \( B \) for both Fraser sites was 0-023. The optimal value of \( B \) for each site differed only slightly from the overall best fit, being 0-020 (\( R^2 = 0-75 \)) for the uniform and 0-026 (\( R^2 = 0-71 \)) for the discontinuous stand. This difference may reflect greater transfer efficiency of absorbed shortwave energy to downward emissions of longwave in more sparse stands. However, given the scatter in the results the overall value of 0-023 was used in subsequent modelling. For Marmot, the best fit value was 0-023 (\( R^2 = 0-59 \)), in correspondence with the Fraser sites, however an offset occurred in Marmot. Given the time of year (end of March) and low latitude (39°N) compared to Marmot (mid March, 51°N) the solar elevation angle above the horizon would
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Figure 7. The net extinction of shortwave radiation in pine canopy and the difference between longwave irradiance predicted by Equation 3 (sky irradiance and air temperature based) and that measured for (a) Fraser uniform (point) and discontinuous (×) stands and (b) the Marmot stand be much higher at Fraser than at Marmot. At the highest irradiances the extinction of beam radiation by trunks might have been relatively smaller for the high elevation angles that are been associated with the highest irradiance values.

Using measured sky irradiance and models (i) air temperature = canopy temperature (Equation 3), (ii) measured needle-branch and trunk temperatures (Equation 9) with the ‘best fit’ values of needle-branch fraction with respect to RMS error (0-65, 0-75, 0-60, respectively, for the uniform and discontinuous Fraser stands, and the Marmot stand), and (iii) air temperature and estimated shortwave extinction in the canopy with $B = 0-023$ for Fraser and $B = 0-038$ for Marmot (Equation 10), the longwave irradiance was calculated for the three field sites (Figure 8). The highest RMS errors and mean bias were from the air temperature model (Equation 3); it should be noted that most of the errors accumulated during periods of strong insolation. Better performances were from either the needle-branch and trunk temperature model (Equation 8) or the air temperature and shortwave extinction model (Equation 10). RMS errors were not notably reduced from the air temperature model by using trunk and needle-branch temperatures at the Fraser uniform site but they were reduced in half by adding this component in the discontinuous stand at Fraser and at the Marmot stand (Table III). Incorporating trunk and needle-branch temperatures or shortwave extinction at all sites reduced mean bias by up to four-fold compared to the air temperature based model.

SENSITIVITY OF LONGWAVE IRRADIANCE TO SOLAR HEATING OF CANOPY

In order to better describe how the enhancement of longwave radiation to snow from solar heating varies with forest density, solar elevation angle and air temperature conditions, a model, based on the shortwave extinction enhancement of longwave model (iii), was constructed for the purposes of sensitivity analysis. The model assumes clear sky conditions with an atmospheric emissivity of $0-6$ and vegetation emissivity of $0-98$. The variables driving the model are solar elevation angle, air temperature, and effective leaf area index, whilst the parameters are emissivity, albedos of forest and snow and $B$. To estimate the shortwave irradiance to a flat surface the model uses a linear approximation of the relationship between solar elevation angle and shortwave irradiance in mid-March as calculated using physically based algorithms in the Cold Regions Hydrological Model (Pomeroy et al., 2007). The approximation is valid.
Figure 8. Modelled and measured irradiance at the uniform Fraser stand (a), discontinuous Fraser stand (b) and Marmot stand (c), using above canopy irradiance and model (i) air temperature only (Equation 3), (ii) needle-branch and trunk temperatures with optimized needle-branch fraction (Equation 9), and (iii) air temperature and shortwave extinction with optimized efficiency function (Equation 10)

Table III. Errors in estimation of longwave irradiance to snow for three sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Air temperature</th>
<th>Needle-branch &amp; trunk</th>
<th>Air and shortwave extinction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMS error</td>
<td>Mean bias</td>
<td>RMS error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser uniform</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser discontinuous</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marmot Creek</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model (i) (air temperature) uses Equation 3, Models (ii) and (iii) use Equation 4 with (ii) measured needle-branch and trunk temperatures and (iii) air temperature as an estimate of needle-branch temperature and measured trunk temperatures.

from at least $30^\circ$ to $55^\circ$ N ($r^2 = 0.99$) and is,

$$K \downarrow = 1040 \beta$$

where $\beta$ is given in radians and the coefficient 1040 has units of W m$^{-2}$. The model also uses the empirical relationship between $LAI'$ and the sky view factor, $V_f$,

$$V_f = 0.45 - 0.29 \ln(LAI')$$

for conifer canopies given by Pomeroy et al. (2002); The model then uses Equations 6–8, and 10–12 to estimate the longwave irradiance to snow.

Results of the model assuming the albedo of forest is 0.12, the albedo of snow under the forest is 0.8 and $B$ is 0.023 are shown in Figure 9 for the range.
of solar elevation angles that are found during premelt and melt periods in the Rocky Mountains. Note that the contribution of enhanced longwave from solar extinction \((B K_{HI})\) approximately doubles if the value of \(B\) from Marmot Creek is chosen instead of the more conservative value from Fraser. Figure 9a shows the ratio of longwave due to shortwave extinction to total longwave irradiance to snow as a function of solar elevation angle and air temperature for a fixed \(LAI' = 2\). It is apparent that the enhancement of longwave due to shortwave extinction in the canopy is significant at higher solar elevation angles; this enhancement amounts up to about 9\%, or about 20 W m\(^{-2}\) on average, of longwave irradiance to snow under cold conditions. Note that using the Marmot value of \(B\) (0.038) results in a maximum longwave enhancement of 30 W m\(^{-2}\) or about 15\% of longwave irradiance to snow at \(-20^\circ\)C air temperature and an elevation angle of 60°. As air temperature increases, the magnitude of this contribution does not drop, but its importance does such that it is only 6\% of longwave irradiance to snow at \(+10^\circ\)C and high solar elevation angles (60°). Figure 9b shows the effect of varying effective leaf area index on the ratio of longwave due to shortwave extinction to total longwave irradiance for a fixed air temperature of 0°C. There is relatively little sensitivity to \(LAI'\) because greater \(LAI'\) results in both greater extinction of shortwave, though there is slightly greater relative contribution from shortwave extinction at lower canopy densities. The extinction of shortwave radiation led directly to canopy warming and hence to persistent differences between estimates and measurements of sub-canopy longwave irradiance to snow during sunlit periods, if sub-canopy irradiance is estimated using only air temperature, sky view factor and above canopy longwave irradiance. Sunlit tree trunks were substantially warmer than air temperature and sunlit needle-branches, which were sometimes warmer than air temperature. The largest differences occurred for situations with strong insolation to discontinuous canopies where small gaps permitted shortwave radiation to be extinguished well down within the canopy. Smaller differences between estimates and measurements occurred for all canopies at night and during cloudy periods, and for uniform canopies in general.

CONCLUSIONS

This study has shown that the assumption of equality between air temperature and canopy temperatures is not always met, and that above canopy and especially sub-canopy temperatures are often colder than canopy temperatures under strong insolation. There is a substantial variability to canopy surface temperatures and enhancement of these surface temperatures above the air temperature due to extinction of solar radiation. The failure of the assumption of canopy and air temperature equality can lead to substantial differences between estimates and measurements of sub-canopy longwave irradiance to snow during sunlit periods, if sub-canopy irradiance is estimated using only air temperature, sky view factor and above canopy longwave irradiance. Sunlit tree trunks were substantially warmer than air temperature and sunlit needle-branches, which were sometimes warmer than air temperature. The largest differences occurred for situations with strong insolation to discontinuous canopies where small gaps permitted shortwave radiation to be extinguished well down within the canopy. Smaller differences between estimates and measurements occurred for all canopies at night and during cloudy periods, and for uniform canopies in general.

Figure 9. Ratio of modelled enhanced downwelling longwave due to shortwave extinction in the canopy to total longwave irradiance to snow, (a) as a function of air temperature and solar elevation angle for \(LAI' = 2\). (b) as a function of effective winter leaf area index and solar elevation angle for an air temperature of 0°C.
use two-component approaches to evaluate the contribution of canopy longwave exitance. The two-energy component approach uses a combination of air temperature and shortwave extinction by canopy to estimate the canopy longwave exitance. The two-thermal regime approach partitions the canopy between needle-branch exitance and trunk exitance with explicit observations or calculations of trunk and needle-branch temperature to drive the extinction calculations. For situations where the canopy temperature is not directly measured or correctly modelled then the two-energy component approach provides a relatively simple method to estimate the enhanced canopy longwave exitance using only canopy characteristics, air temperature and shortwave extinction. During conditions that are common during snowmelt at high and low latitude sites in the Rocky Mountains of the US and Canada there was little evidence that such corrections are necessary under cloudy periods. However, when direct sunlight was extinguished by the canopy, the effect was very important to the magnitude of longwave irradiance to snow with longwave. Enhance of longwave irradiance to snow ranged up to 30 W m\(^{-2}\). The relative importance of this longwave enhancement effect was greatest at high solar elevation angles and cold air temperatures. There was little influence of forest density on the relative importance of longwave enhancement from shortwave extinction because both shortwave extinction and canopy contribution to downwelling longwave increased with leaf area index. The presence of dead trees may have increased the magnitude of the longwave enhancement effect but requires further research. Because of the persistence of longwave enhancement from shortwave extinction over long periods, it is expected that inclusion of this enhancement in energy balance models of snowmelt will improve their performance in forested environments.
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