Determining the Role of Competition in Structuring Population **Distributions:**

Case study of stream fish in Oklahoma



Winston, M.R. 1995. Co-occurrence of morphologically similar species of stream fishes. The American Naturalist 145:527-545.

Complete Competitors Cannot Coexist...

- Partitioning of resources
 - Food
 - Temporal
 - Space (habitat)
- Space Partitioning Leads to Complementary Distributions
 - Sympatric
 - Allopatric

 - Parapatric

Distributions of Stream Fish in Red River



- Anecdotal observations of parapatric distributions among 27 species of morphologically similar minnows, shiners, and chubs
- Wanted to know the mechanism (e.g., interspecific competition) for assembly

Reasons/Mechanisms for Parapatric Distributions

Historical

- Species are adapted to different environments
- Genetic mechanisms maintain boundaries
- Ecological
 - Ecotones
 Predation
 - Predation
 - Disease and parasites
 <u>Interspecific competition</u>

Approach



- Genetic Mechanisms
 - Used phylogeny
 - Predictions:
 - » More distantly related species should show more random distributions (i.e., more overlap)
 » Less likely to have parapatric distributions
 - If distantly related species show parapatric distributions then suggests an ecological mechanism

Approach

- Ecological Mechanisms
 - Used morphology
 - Predictions:
 - » Morphologically similar species would have parapatric distributions more than dissimilar species
 - If morphologically similar species showed parapatric distributions then suggests an ecological mechanism

Results

- Genetic Mechanism
 - Phylogeny failed to explain (i.e., distantly related species still showed some complementary distributions
- Ecological Mechanism
 - Morphologically similar species co-occurred less than morphologically less similar species
 - Suggests an ecological mechanism

Ecological, however...

- Ecotones?
- Predation?
- Disease and Parasitism?
- Interspecific Competition?



Ecotone?

- Ecotones bound the distribution of species adapted for particular habitats
- If assume morphologically similar species are adapted to similar habitats then expect similar species to co-occur
- This was <u>not</u> the case



Predation?

- Assume picivores should prey on morphologically similar species
- If one species was excluded from an area due to predation, then other morphologically similar species should be excluded as well

 Not the case
- Additionally, picivore ranges were usually much larger and overlapped many parapatric distributions

Parasites and Disease?

- ?????
- Used other studies to suggest might or might not be the case

Interspecific Competition

- Assume that if morphology reflects resource use and if *resources are limiting*, then expect competitive pressure to result in parapatric distributions
- Found morphologically similar species showed parapatric distributions

Conclusions

- Interspecific competition, as the mechanism for parapatric distributions, was consistent with predictions
- If an observational approach is used, care must be taken to examine <u>all</u> possible explanations