

## University of Idaho

Pivoting

It may happen that some pivot elements are zero even though matrix  $A$  is nonsingular. If this is the case, then the above procedure breaks down.

$$\text{Ex } \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) A$$

$\det A = -1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow A$  is nonsingular

$$m_{21} = \frac{1}{1} = 1, \quad m_{31} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \boxed{0} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{22}^{(2)} = 0 \text{ even though } \det A = -1 \neq 0$$

$$m_{31} = \frac{1}{0}$$

Remedy: interchange rows 2 and 3 and proceed.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow$$

$$x_3 = 1/1 = 1$$

$$x_2 = (0 - 1 \cdot x_3) / 1 = -1$$

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$

General case

Suppose matrix  $A$  is invertible but some pivot  $a_{kk}^{(k)} = 0$

$$A^{(k)} = E_{k-1} \dots E_2 E_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{1k}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{1n}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \circled{a_{kk}^{(k)}} & \dots & a_{kn}^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & a_{nk}^{(k)} & \dots & a_{nn}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det A^{(k)} = \underbrace{\det E_{k-1}}_1 \dots \underbrace{\det E_{k-2}}_1 \dots \underbrace{\det E_2}_1 \det E_1 \cdot \underbrace{\det A}_{\neq 0} = \det A \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow \det A^{(k)} \neq 0 \Rightarrow A^{(k)}$  is also invertible. If  $a_{ik}^{(k)} = 0, i=kt+1, \dots, n$ , then one can show that the first  $k$  columns of  $A^{(k)}$  are linearly dependent, which contradicts the fact that  $A^{(k)}$  is invertible. Let  $i$  be the first row

## University of Idaho

in which  $a_{ie}^{(k)} \neq 0$ . Then switch rows  $k$  and  $i$  and proceed.

$$A^{(k)} \rightarrow P_k A^{(k)}, \quad P_k: \text{permutation matrix}$$

$$P_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & 0 & \dots & 1 & \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ & & 1 & \dots & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

col.  $k$                       col.  $i$

row  $k$                       row  $i$

Note  $\det P_k = -1$

Thm Let  $A$  be nonsingular. Then there exists a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $PA = LU$  where  $L$  is unit lower triangular matrix,  $U$  is upper triangular matrix.

Pf

We can pivot to produce

$$E_{n-1} P_{n-1} \dots E_2 P_2 E_1 P_1 A = U = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(1)} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & a_{nn}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Some  $P_i$  may be identity

matrices.

In general,  $P_2 E_1 \neq E_1 P_2$ . But there exists another transformation matrix  $\tilde{E}_1$  such that

$$P_2 E_1 = \tilde{E}_1 P_2$$

Then

$$\tilde{E}_{n-1} \dots \tilde{E}_2 \tilde{E}_1 P_{n-1} \dots P_2 P_1 A = U$$

$\underbrace{\tilde{E}_2 \tilde{E}_1 P_{n-1} \dots P_2 P_1}_P$

$$\underbrace{P_{n-1} \dots P_2 P_1}_P A = (\tilde{E}_{n-1} \dots \tilde{E}_2 \tilde{E}_1)^{-1} U$$

$$\underbrace{P_{n-1} \dots P_2 P_1}_P A = \underbrace{\tilde{E}_1^{-1} \tilde{E}_2^{-1} \dots \tilde{E}_{n-1}^{-1}}_L U$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{PA = LU}$$

Note  
 1. P contains pivoting information: in practice it is not necessary to store matrix P, the information can be stored in the integer pivotal array (vector)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(1) &= 3 \\ p(2) &= 1 \\ p(3) &= 2 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow P = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

## University of Idaho

2. Since  $\det P_k = \pm 1 \Rightarrow \det A = \pm a_{11}^{(1)} a_{22}^{(2)} \dots a_{nn}^{(n)}$

Def Matrix  $A$  is called strictly diagonally dominant

$$\text{if } |a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

Def Matrix  $A$  is called positive definite if  $x^T A x > 0$

for all  $x \neq 0$ .

$$3. A_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad k \times k \text{ leading submatrix of } A$$

Thm If matrix  $A$  is strictly diagonally dominant or  $A$  is positive definite or determinants of all leading submatrices  $\det A_k \neq 0$ , then pivots arising in

# University of Idaho

Gaussian elimination are nonzero and pivoting is not needed, i.e.  $A = LU$

Note In practice, pivoting is recommended when pivot is small.

$$\underline{\text{ex}} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 1 & | & 1 + \varepsilon \\ 1 & 1 & | & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_{21} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

$$2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1 + \varepsilon) = 2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1 = 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 1 & | & 1 + \varepsilon \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & | & 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \uparrow$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_2 &= (1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) / (1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) = 1 \\ x_1 &= (1 + \varepsilon - 1 \cdot x_2) / \varepsilon = (1 + \varepsilon - 1) / \varepsilon = 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{exact} \\ \text{solution} \end{array}$$



## University of Idaho

Now, due to rounding, the reduced system will look like

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon & 1 \\ \hline 0 & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\tilde{x}_2 = 1$$

$$\tilde{x}_1 = (1 - 1 \cdot \tilde{x}_2) / \varepsilon = (1 - 1 \cdot 1) / \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

computed solution is inaccurate

Remedy: pivot, even if  $a_{11} \neq 0$

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \varepsilon & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Due to rounding we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \varepsilon & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad M_{21} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1} = \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1-\varepsilon & 1-2\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \approx \text{round} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow$$

$$\tilde{x}_2 = 1$$

$$\tilde{x}_1 = (2 - 1 \cdot \tilde{x}_2) / 1 = (2 - 1) / 1 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

new computed

solution is  
accurate!

Partial pivoting

Choose  $i$  such that

$$|a_{ik}^{(k)}| = \max \{ |a_{kk}^{(k)}|, |a_{k+1,k}^{(k)}|, \dots, |a_{nk}^{(k)}| \}$$

Then interchange rows  $i$  and  $k$ .

### Scaled Partial Pivoting

Choose  $i$  such that

$$\frac{|a_{ik}^{(k)}|}{s_k} = \max \left\{ \frac{|a_{kk}^{(k)}|}{s_k}, \frac{|a_{k+1,k}^{(k)}|}{s_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{|a_{n,k}^{(k)}|}{s_n} \right\}$$

So

$$\text{where } s_r = \max \{ |a_{r,k}^{(k)}|, |a_{r,k+1}^{(k)}|, \dots, |a_{r,n}^{(k)}| \}$$

Using partial or scaled partial pivoting lets one keep the error in reduced matrices  $A^{(k)}$  at the same order as error in the original matrix  $A$ .