

Obs	fib	gamma	health
1	2.52	38	0
2	2.46	36	0
3	2.29	36	0
4	3.15	36	0
5	2.88	30	0
6	2.29	31	0
7	2.99	36	0
8	2.38	37	1
9	2.56	31	0
10	3.22	38	0
11	2.35	29	0
12	3.53	46	1
13	2.65	46	0
14	2.15	31	0
15	3.32	35	0
16	2.23	37	0
17	2.19	33	0
18	2.21	37	0
19	5.06	37	1
20	2.68	34	0
21	2.09	44	1
22	2.54	28	0
23	2.18	31	0
24	2.67	39	0
25	3.41	37	0
26	3.15	39	0
27	3.34	32	1
28	2.60	38	0
29	2.28	36	0
30	3.93	32	1
31	2.60	41	0
32	3.34	30	0

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information	
Data Set	WORK.EXAMPLE12_23
Response Variable	health
Number of Response Levels	2
Model	binary logit
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring

Number of Observations Read	32
Number of Observations Used	32

Response Profile		
Ordered Value	health	Total Frequency
1	1	6
2	0	26

*Probability modeled is health=1.*

Model Convergence Status
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	32.885	28.971
SC	34.351	33.368
-2 Log L	30.885	22.971

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	7.9138	2	0.0191
Score	8.2067	2	0.0165
Wald	4.7561	2	0.0927

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-12.7920	5.7964	4.8704	0.0273
fib	1	1.9104	0.9710	3.8708	0.0491
gamma	1	0.1558	0.1195	1.6982	0.1925

Odds Ratio Estimates			
Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits	
fib	6.756	1.007	45.308
gamma	1.169	0.924	1.477

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses			
Percent Concordant	80.1	Somers' D	0.609
Percent Discordant	19.2	Gamma	0.613
Percent Tied	0.6	Tau-a	0.192
Pairs	156	c	0.804