AI generative text tools are amazing tools and in order to prepare you for the world beyond the classroom I will allow you to use these tools in assignments, activities, quizzes, and tests. When you use these tools you should be warned that they do not always give good responses and in some instances provide completely incorrect responses. Therefore, you are responsible for editing, correcting, and otherwise revising the responses you receive from AI tools so you meet the criteria for the course work.

You must cite your use of AI tools using the following guideline for citation ([The Chicago Manual](https://www-chicagomanualofstyle-org.uidaho.idm.oclc.org/qanda/data/faq/topics/Documentation/faq0422.html), [APA](https://apastyle.apa.org/blog/how-to-cite-chatgpt),  and [MLA](https://style.mla.org/citing-generative-ai/) all have recommendations for your adoption). Failure to cite your use of AI tools are a violation of the UI Academic Honesty policy and I will have to refer violations of that policy to the Dean of Students office (See below).

Student Code of Conduct

ARTICLE II- PROSCRIBED CONDUCT.

A. Rules and Regulations. The following list describes actions that detract from the effectiveness of a University community and for which students are subject to disciplinary action. Any student found to have committed or to have attempted to commit the following misconduct is subject to the disciplinary process outlined in FSH 2400:

A-1. Academic Dishonesty. Academic honesty and integrity are core values at a university and the faculty finds that even one incident of academic dishonesty may merit expulsion. Instructors and students are jointly responsible for maintaining academic standards and integrity in university courses. In addition to any disciplinary sanctions imposed under the Code, additional consequences for academic dishonesty may be imposed by the course instructor, including issuing a grade of “F” in the course. Any grade issued by the course instructor, whether as a result of academic dishonesty or not, constitutes an academic evaluation and is not disciplinary action. All instructors must report incidents of academic dishonesty to DOS by email or using the reporting form on DOS website. Acts of academic dishonesty include but are not limited to the following:

a. Cheating includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(1) using any unauthorized assistance in, or having unauthorized materials while, taking quizzes, tests, examinations or other assignments, including copying from another’s quiz, test, examination, or other assignment or allowing another to copy from one’s own quiz, test, examination, or other assignment;

(2) using sources beyond those authorized by the instructor in writing papers, preparing reports, solving problems, or carrying out other assignments;

(3) acquiring, without permission, tests or other academic material belonging to the instructor or another member of the University faculty or staff;

(4) engaging in any behavior prohibited by the instructor in the course syllabus or in class discussion; or

(5) engaging in other behavior that a reasonable person would consider to be cheating.

b. Plagiarism includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(1) using, by paraphrase or direct quotation, the published or unpublished work of another person without full and clear acknowledgment;

(2) using materials prepared by another person or agency engaged in the selling of term papers or other academic materials without prior authorization by the instructor; or

(3) engaging in other behavior that a reasonable person would consider plagiarism.