Module 4: Drawing Conclusions From Data

4.1 Observing Data versus Performing Experiments



Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- Analyzing environmental data is often complicated by non-adherence to standard assumptions.
- Some issues that arise:
 - Rarely can experiments be carried out on the environment
 - It is difficult to "control" some variables while studying others





- Some issues that arise:
 - There are many types of trends and patterns in nature at many different scales all happening simultaneously and these are not well understood by us
 - Laboratory scale experiments sometimes give different results than what is observed at a larger scale in nature
 - Standard distributions many not apply

Module 4.1





Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- · Some issues that arise:
 - Data can be missing or not measurable (censored)
 - Data points close together in time or space are more alike than those further apart (autocorrelation)
- A few of these issues will be discussed in this module





- Observation and experimentation are the basis of science
- Observation is passive while experimentation is active
- Experimentation involves purposefully changing some conditions in a controlled way and observing the result

Module 4.1





Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- In order to be certain that changing variable A will affect variable B in a certain way, it is necessary to change A and observe B (while holding everything else more or less constant)
- Other important considerations in experimentation are randomization, replication, and controls





- Randomization involves making sure that sample units are randomly chosen to be measured or are randomly assigned to treatment groups
- This ensures that differences between sample units (on any number of unmeasured characteristics) will not interfere with observing the effect of the variable under study

Module 4 1





Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- If careful randomization is not used, unintentional bias can result:
 - A researcher unconsciously picks the unhealthiest specimens to measure for effects of environmental contamination because that agrees with his beliefs
 - A scientist with a new idea for treatment, unintentionally selects the healthiest lab mice to test it on





- Replication involves doing the experiment multiple times or collecting multiple data points
- Without replication, we don't know how much variability is "normal" and so we can't tell if what we saw was natural variability or a real effect

Module 4.1





Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- Controls are sample units to which the treatment being studied is not given
- Controls allow us to see what would have happened to the population if we had left it alone
- Without controls, we must make a judgement about this issue and will have little assurance of its validity





- The problem is that proper experimentation is rarely possible in the field of environmental science
- So, we often must rely on observational data
- If we observe A and B (and other things) and A and B change together, then A may cause B or vice versa or they may both be caused by C

Module 4.1





Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- We can do laboratory experiments to check on relationships
- We must also use our knowledge, experience, scientific theory, etc to make judgements about the environment

Module 4.1

18



- · Be careful to:
 - Be a skeptic, double check
 - Make sure observed relationships make sense theoretically
 - Use experimentation where possible to validate observed relationships
 - Don't assume that if a relationship holds in the laboratory it will definitely hold in nature, you must observe it on that scale

Module 4.1

4



Observing Data vs Performing Experiments

- Be careful to:
 - Make as few assumptions as possible regarding the data
 - Be as open minded as possible when analyzing the data, look at what the data is telling you
- In other words, being a good environmental statistician is being a good scientist

Module 4.1

0