INTERDISCIPLINARY CAPSTONE DESIGN CONCEPT DESIGN REVIEW ASSESSMENT FORM

Project:	Date:	

	Your	Scoring Scale		
	Score	1 :	2 3 4	-
ORGANIZATION COMMENTS: DELIVERY COMMENTS:		Order and flow unclear and confusing; time usage ineffective; not aligned with audience needs terms misused; voice unsteady;	Order and flow clear, parts fit into whole; time used well in general; responds to most audience needs voice clear and steady; kindles modest audience	Order and flow smooth, clear; time usage very good to achieve purposes; appropriate level of detail for the intended audience speaker shows command of discipline; very good
		difficult to listen to Presentation lacks	interest Presentation includes	word choice; persuasive and engaging Presentation includes all
COMPLETENESS/ACCURACY COMMENTS:		some key information needed by client; information is uncertain and sheds doubt about reliability	most key information needed by client; information appears correct and reliable: convincing proof lacking	key information needed by client; information proven to be reliability; key data, sources, and engineering analysis given
VISUAL AIDS COMMENTS:		Visuals crude, have some errors, are generally readable; mixed impact	Visuals generally attractive, have no serious errors, have positive impact	Visuals attractive, excellent quality; no errors; achieve desired impact
AUDIENCE INTERACTION (LISTENING/ RESPONDING) COMMENTS:		Interaction with audience limited; not effective in Q/A	Maintains some rapport with audience; generally effective in Q/A	Excellent rapport with audience; very effective listening, answering Q/A
CONCEPT/DESIGN QUALITY COMMENTS:		Team partially understands needs of clients; concept not viable, does not address some important criteria*	Team understands main technical and nontechnical needs of clients; concept plausible, addresses most crucial requirements, constraints*	Team fully understands diverse needs of clients, society; concept innovative, viable, satisfies all requirements and constraints*
PROJECT MANAGEMENT COMMENTS:		Generally ignores cost issues; work plan vague or unrealistic	Discusses primary cost concerns; concept has economic plausibility; work plan includes milestones but path to achieving these is incomplete	Effectively judges costs, benefits; concept has economic viability; work plan very detailed and driven by meaningful, achievable milestones

^{*} Incorporate engineering standards and realistic constraints that include most of the following considerations: economic; environmental; sustainability; manufacturability; ethical; health and safety; social; and political