## ENGINEERING RELEASE REVIEW RUBRIC

| <b>Reviewer Name:</b> _ |  |
|-------------------------|--|
|-------------------------|--|

| Team Name: | Date: |
|------------|-------|
|------------|-------|

| Competency            | Not ready                |  | Ready to go            | Readiness |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| - Competency          | Red                      | Yellow   | Green                  | (R,Y,G)   |
| Value<br>Proposition  | Missing – No attempt     | Technical only –   | Engaging - Clear       |           |
|                       | to describe why the      | Partial articulation of                                  | articulation of larger |           |
|                       | project is important;    | project impact; not                                      | project impact;        |           |
|                       | not relatable for the    | relatable for non-                                       | relatable to a non-    |           |
|                       | audience                 | technical audience                                       | technical audience     |           |
|                       | Superficial Formulation  | Adequate   | Insightful             |           |
| Product               | - Some relevant          | Formulation – Most                                       | Formulation – All      |           |
| Requirements          | factors identified,      | relevant factors   | relevant factors       |           |
|                       | few measurable,          | considered, some   | considered, many       |           |
|                       | ·                        | measurable,  | measurable             |           |
| Preliminary           | Missing – No mention     | Satisfactory – High-                                     | Complete – Focused     |           |
| Proof of              | of modeling, testing,    | level data without                                       | data with relevant     |           |
| Concept               | or prototyping at any    | specified purpose or                                     | interpretations and    |           |
|                       | scale                    | application  | conclusions            |           |
|                       | Missing – No overall     | Basic – Broad concept                                    | Thorough -             |           |
| System Design         | system architecture or   | of a design with a                                       | Proposed solution(s)   |           |
| (including any        | knowledge of how         | basic idea of how it                                     | directly addresses     |           |
| Models,               | subsystems relate to     | satisfies client needs;                                  | client needs and       |           |
| Drawings, and         | one another;             | models, drawings,  | specifications;        |           |
| вом)                  | no models or drawings    | BOM partially  | models, drawings,      |           |
|                       | available.               | complete   | and BOM available      |           |
|                       | Disorganized – Vague     | Developed - Selection                                    | Well Planned -         |           |
|                       | idea of components to    | of purchased   | Specific vendors and   |           |
| Manufacturing         | be purchased and how     | components in  | model numbers          |           |
| and                   | parts fit together;      | progress; materials                                      | ready for order;       |           |
| Validation            | largely unaware how      | identified but may not                                   | tooling and fixture    |           |
| Plan                  |                          | o fabricate needed be optimal; validation plan partially | needs understood;      |           |
|                       |                          |  | drawings reviewed      |           |
|                       | validation               |  | by shop manager;       |           |
|                       |                          |  | clear validation plan  |           |
|                       | Wistful –                |  | Insightful -           |           |
| Project<br>Management | Path to completion       | Appropriate -  | Contingencies          |           |
|                       | unclear; budget          | Credible timeline and                                    | considered in          |           |
|                       | uncertain                | budget given   | managing time and      |           |
|                       |                          |  | budget                 |           |
| Risk<br>Management    | Unaware -                | Considerate –  | Mitigated –            |           |
|                       | No acknowledgement       | Acknowledges risks                                       | Identifies logical     |           |
|                       | of project risks; relies | and incorporates   | risks and clear paths  |           |
| aa.gee.ne             | only on a "home-run"     | potential plans for                                      | and fallback plans to  |           |
|                       | solution                 | worst-case scenario                                      | address each           |           |

Comment and list any readiness deficiencies: (continue on back if necessary):

Overall Readiness: \_\_\_\_\_