# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R0MGRA** Mountain Grassland **General Information** Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers **Reviewers** Mary Manning mmanning@fs.fed.us Eldon Rash erash@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones Vegetation Type ✓ Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast **S**. Appalachians AGSP LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Northern Plains Southwest FEID 10 21 ✓ N-Cent.Rockies FESC 19 22 STCO 20 29

# **Geographic Range**

Northern Rockies throughout Montana, northern Idaho, and Wyoming.

# **Biophysical Site Description**

This type occupies moist, productive rolling uplands, ranging from 4000 to 8000 feet. At lower elevations, it occupies north facing, snow loaded slopes with higher soil moisture and deeper, more productive soils relative to the surrounding upland.

#### Vegetation Description

This type is dominated by bluebunch wheatgrass with Idaho fescue and rough fescue as dominant associates. Mueggler and Stewart (1980) have described these types as: Fredi/Agsp and Fesc/Agsp. Additional species include needle and thread, Sandberg's bluegrass, and a variety of mesic forbs (e.g., showy cinquefoil, sticky geranium, phlox, lupine, and yarrow).

# **Disturbance Description**

This type has frequent mixed and replacement fires (fire regime group I). Most species in this type are fire adapted and respond favorably to these fire types. Grazing by large, concentrated herds of ungulates (bison, elk, pronghorn and deer) maintained healthy, productive and diverse grasslands. (This grazing regime is referred to as "Native Grazing" in the VDDT model.) Such grazing may have resulted in heavy defoliation and/or some soil churning, but was temporally transitory. Temporary impact followed by rest-recovery time is characteristic.

A small portion of the landscape was subjected to repeated or prolonged heavy animal impact, including heavy defoliation and repeated soil churning and/or compaction. Such areas included watering points for herds, bison or elk wallows, and prairie dog towns. (This disturbance is referred to as "Optional1" in the VDDT model.) The slow recovery time after such disturbances are reflected in the successional pathway of class B to C to D.

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Final Document 9-30-2005 Page 1 of 4

#### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Since this is a broad type, the dry bluebunch wheatgrass-needle and thread variant will probably have more bareground and a slightly higher MFI. Response to fire may differ slightly also.

### Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data ♥ Literature □ Local Data ♥ Expert Estimate

Thjs type can occupy broad expanses and also narrow bands below the lower montane forest.

### Issues/Problems

This is a highly variable type, which includes most of Mueggler and Stewart's habitat types. The literature in FEIS suggests an MFI of between 10-30 years for this type. The Lewis and Clark range type classification needs to be incorporated into this model also.

# **Model Evolution and Comments**

Workshop code was MGRA1.

Review comments from Eldon Rash were incorporated on 03/02/2005. The name of class D was changed to Mid2 (from Late1) to reflect the transitional nature of the class before late-development closed conditions. The pathway from B to C to D reflects heavy animal use and the relatively slow recovery time from such disturbances.

Suggested reviewers were Lois Olsen (lolsen@fs.fed.us), Jeff Dibenedetto (jdibenedetto@fs.fed.us), and Eldon Rash (erash@fs.fed.us), and Steve Cooper from MNHP.

Succession	n classes are the equivalent of "	Succession Cl Vegetation Fuel Classes" as d			ency FRCC Gu	iidebook (www.frcc.gov).	
Class A	20 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (f	ver lifeform)		
Early1 PostRep <u>Description</u> Post fire, early seral community dominated by bunchgrasses and forbs. Cover ranges from 0-20%. In the absence of fire or heavy animal impact, this condition succeeds to a late-development closed condition (class E). Age ranges from 0-5 years.		AGSP KOCR POSA STCO	Cover		Min 0 %	Max 20 %	
			Height Tree Size		no data no data	no data	
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 5%		Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early2 Pos	stRep	VETH ACMI	Cover		Min 0 %	<u> </u>	
Description	<u>1</u>		Height		no data	no data	
Open condition resulting from repeated, prolonged use by native ungulates. Soil displacement and compaction favor ruderale species and limit "natural" succession. Cover ranges from 0 to 5%. Recovery time is slow, and after 30 years without heavy animal impact or replacement fire this condition will succeed to a mid-development		TRDU POSA	Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

open condition (class C). Age ranges from 0 to 30 years.

Class C 1%	<u>Dominant Species* an</u> <u>Canopy Position</u>	<u>d</u> <u>Structur</u>	e Data (for upper layer l					
Mid1 Open	POSA		Min	Max				
Description	AGSP	Cover	5 %	20 %				
	0TECO	Height	no data	no data				
Mid-open condition which		Tree Size	Tree Size Class no data					
recovering from heavy anim	nal use. KOCR							
Ruderales are eventually re	·	Upper	Upper layer lifeform differs from domin					
by mid-seral species. Cano	py cover Herbaceous	Height	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
increases and bare ground	Shrub							
decreases. This is a minor,	Tree							
transitional type. Cover ran	iges							
from 5 to 20%. Without	<b>Fuel Model</b> no data							
replacement fire or heavy a	nimal							
use this type succeeds to a								
mid-development condition								
D). Age ranges from 30-80								
	-							

Dominant Species\* and

Tree

Fuel Model no data

# Class D 20 %

### Mid2 Open Description

The plant community continues to develop after heavy animal use, with increases in canopy and basal vegetation cover, and decreases in bare ground. Litter also increases. Cover ranges from 20 to 30%. Without replacement fire or heavy animal use, this type will succeed to a late-development closed condition (class E). Age ranges from 80-90 years.

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Canopy Position Min Max AGSP Cover 20 % 30 % FEID Height no data no data FESC Tree Size Class no data KOCR Upper Layer Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous Shrub

Class E 54 %	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Late1 Closed	FEID	Cover	30 %	80 %		
Description	FESC	Height	no data	no data		
The mesic forb component is greatest in this community. There is	AGSP KOCR	Tree Size Class	no data			
very little bare ground; litter ground cover is high. Plants are vigorous and well established. Replacement fire is rarely lethal, and the community responds. Quickly to fire. Cover ranges from 30 to 80%. Without fire or heavy	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant I Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

animal impact, this condition is self-

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

perpetuating and begins at 5 years after a replacement fire.

Disturbances							
Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: 2							
<ul> <li>✓ Fire</li> <li>✓ Insects/Disease</li> <li>○ Wind/Weather/Stress</li> <li>✓ Native Grazing</li> <li>○ Competition</li> </ul>	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity						
✓ Other: heavy animal impact	Fire Intervals (FI)						
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of						
<u>Historical Fire Size (acres)</u> Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.						
October of Fire Devices Date		Avg Fl	Min Fl	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	20	10		0.05	60	
✓ Literature	Mixed	30			0.03333	40	
Local Data	Surface						
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	12			0.08334		
<b>References</b>							

Mueggler, W. F. and W. L. Stewart. 1980. Grassland and Shrubland habitat types of Western Montana. USDA GTR INT-66