Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **ROPPDF** Ponderosa Pine - Douglas-Fir General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Lynette Morelan lmorelan@fs.fed.us Pat Green pgreen@fs.fed.us Jane Kapler Smith ismith09@fs.fed.us Cathy Stewart cstewart@fs.fed.us Steve Barrett sbarrett@mtdig.net **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Forested Pacific Northwest California ✓ Local Data **✓** Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PIPO LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **PSEU** 10 21 18 ✓ N-Cent.Rockies **SPBE** 19 22 **CARU** 20 29

Geographic Range

Northern Rocky Mountains in western Montana and northern Idaho, extending south into the Great Basin.

Biophysical Site Description

Generally found in the montane zone on steep to gentle slopes and all aspects. Elevation ranges from >4000 feet in the southern area and >2,500 in the northern extent. This type generally borders grand fir and spruce fir upper elevational range and dry ponderosa pine or shrub types at the lower elevation range. Site can range from nearly flat to steep and at lower elevations is located more on northerly and easterly aspects.

Vegetation Description

Ponderosa pine is generally the dominant species on most sites, and southerly aspects support relatively open stands. On mesic sites with longer fire return intervals, Douglas-fir often co-dominates the upper canopy layers. In the absence of fire, Douglas-fir and grand fir dominate stand understories. Western larch, true firs, and lodgepole pine may also be present throughout.

Understory can be dominated by shrubs, such as Ceanothus, ninebark and spiraea, willow, or twin flower, or open grass dominated by carex and pinegrass.

Disturbance Description

Primarily Fire Regime Group I with surface and mixed severity fires at varying intervals (MFIs range from 7-65 years). Occasional replacement fires may also occur. Mixed fire increases and surface fires decrease further north.

Insects and disease play an important role, especially in the absence of fire. Bark beetles such as mountain pine beetle, western pine beetle, and Douglas-fir beetle are active in the mid and late structural stage, especially in closed canopies. Weather related disturbances, including drought, tend to affect the late

closed structure more than other structural stages.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG corresponds to Pfister et al. (1977) warm dry Douglas-fir habitat types. This PNVG generally occupies moderate environmental settings between more xeric ponderosa pine or shrub communities at lower elevations and moist grand fir or Douglas-fir communities at higher elevations.

Because of fire suppression, xeric ponderosa pine types may be disproportionally invaded by Douglas-fir today. It may be especially difficult in fire suppressed areas to distinguish between ponderosa pine and ponderosa pine-Douglas-fir PNVGs.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data

Patch sizes were probably highly variable. Surface fires may have been small in area (100s of acres), but replacement fires may have been large (10,000s of acres).

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

This model was originally developed as two types (PPDF1/R0PPDFms and PPDF3/R0PPDF), and were lumped as a result of the peer review process (3/16/05). Suggested edits included having 40-60% in late-development classes (D + E) and approximately 30% in closed canopy classes (B + E).

This model replaces the PNVG R2PPDFcp from the Great Basin model zone, as the two are very similar and only a small portion exists in the Great Basin.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 10% **Canopy Position** Min Max **PIPO** Early1 PostRep Cover 0% 100 % **Description CEVE** Height no data no data **PSEUD** Openings of grass and forbs that Tree Size Class no data **SPBE** are maintained with replacement fire. Seedlings of ponderosa pine, Upper Laver Lifeform Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Herbaceous western larch, Douglas-fir, true firs, Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub and lodgepole pine may be Tree present. Shrubs may include willow, spiraea, and ninebark. Fuel Model no data Sedge and pine grass are also present. After 30 years, this class succeeds to C (mid-development open) unless it is maintained by replacement fire.

Class B 15%

Mid1 Closed

Description

Sapling or pole sized Douglas-fir and ponderosa pine. Larch will decrease due to shade intolerance. True fir species remain or increase due to shade tolerance.

Replacement fire will return this class to A. Mosaic fire can open the stand and convert this class to class C (mid-development open). Surface fires are rare, but would maintain the class. Pathogens can create gaps and cause a transition to class C (mid-development open).

Dominant Species* and

Canopy Position

PIPO PSEUD PHMA SPBE

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		40 %	100 %
Height		no data	no data
Tree Size Class		no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 30 %

Mid1 Open Description

Ponderosa pine is the dominate tree species with Douglas-fir making up a small percentage of the species composition. Western larch may also be present. Ceanothus, ninebark, spiraea, and mountain. maple are the major shrub species present and carex and pinegrass are also major components of the understory.

Replacement fire, though rare, will cause a transition to class A (early development). Surface fires, mixed fires, and insects will maintain the open condition. If this class escapes fire for 35 years, it will succeed to class B (middevelopment closed). If fires do occur, it will succeed at 100 years to class D (late-development open).

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO CEVE PSEUD SPBE

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous
Shrub
Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max
Cover		0 %	40 %
Height		no data	no data
Tree Size Class		no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class D 30% Canopy Position Min Max **PIPO** Late 1 Open Cover 0% 40 % **PDEUD Description** Height no data no data **SPBE** Ponderosa pine is the dominate tree Tree Size Class no data **CAGE** species, with Douglas-fir comprising a small proportion of **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. the species composition. Western Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous larch and true firs may also be \square_{Shrub} present in small proportions. □Tree Structure may be patchy depending Fuel Model no data on fire severities in previous class. Ceanothus will be decreasing and willow, spiraea, ninebark, carex, and pine grass will still be present. Replacement fire, though rare, will cause a transition to class A (early development). Surface fires, mixed fires, and insects will maintain the open condition. If this class escapes fire for 35 years, it will succeed to class E (latedevelopment closed). Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class E 15% Canopy Position Min Max Late1 Closed PIPO 40 % 100 % Cover Description **PSEUD** Heiaht no data no data Large-diameter ponderosa pine **PHMA** Tree Size Class no data with Douglas-fir and true firs. **SPBE** Ninebark, spiraea, and mountain **Upper Layer Lifeform** Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. maple will be present, but Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Herbaceous ceanothus will be absent. Some Shrub pinegrass and carex will be present. Tree Fuel Model no data Replacement fire will return this class to A. Mosaic fire can open the stand and convert this class to class D (late-development open). Surface fires are rare, but would maintain the class. Pathogens can create gaps and cause a transition

to class D (mid-development open).

Disturbances Modeled Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the Historical Fire Size (acres) inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 250 500 1000 0.004 10 **✓** Literature Mixed 50 50 130 0.02 51 Local Data Surface 65 15 25 0.01538 39 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 25 0.03938

References

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest Forest. Island Press: Washington, DC. 493 p.

Ager, A., D. Scott; C. Schmitt. 1995. UPEST: Insect and disease risk calculator for the forests of the Blue Mountains. File document. Pendelton, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Umatilla and Wallowa-Whiman National Forests. 25 p.

Allen, Robert B., Peet, Robert K., and Baker, William L. 1991. Gradient analysis of latitudinal variation in southern Rocky Mountain forests. Journal of Biogeography 18: 123-139.

Amman, G.D. 1977. The role of mountain pine beetle in lodgepole pine ecosystems: impact on succession. In: W.J. Mattson, ed. The role of arthropods in forest ecosystems. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.

Anderson, Leslie, Carlson, Clinton E., and Wakimoto, Ronald H. 1987. Forest fire frequency and western spruce budworm outbreaks in western Montana. Forest Ecology and Management 22: 251-260.

Arno, Stephen F. 1980. Forest fire history in the northern Rockies. Journal of Forestry 78(8): 460-465.

Arno, Stephen F. 2000. Fire in western forest ecosystems. In: Brown, James K., Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42 vol. 2. Ogden, UT: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 97-120.

Arno, Stephen F., Scott, Joe H., Hartwell, Michael G. 1995. Age-class structure of old growth ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir stands and its relationship to fire history. Research Paper INT-RP-481. Ogden, UT: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 25 p.

Baker, William L., and Ehle, Donna. 2001. Uncertainty in surface fire history: the case of ponderosa pine forests in the western United States. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31: 1205-1226.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1993. Fire regimes on the Clearwater and Nez Perce National Forests north-central Idaho. Final Report: Order No. 43-0276-3-0112. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. 21 p. Unpublished report on file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1988. Fire suppression's effects on forest succession within a central Idaho wilderness. Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 3(3): 76-80.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1984. Fire history of the River of No Return Wilderness: River Breaks Zone. Final Report. Missoula, MT: Systems for Environmental Management. 40 p + appendices.

Brown, James K., Arno, Stephen F., Barrett, Stephen W., and Menakis, James P. 1994. Comparing the prescribed natural fire program with presettlement fires in the Selway Bitterroot Wilderness. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4(3): 157-168.

Brown, Peter M., and Shepperd, Wayne D. 2001. Fire history and fire climatology along a 5 degree gradient in latitude in Colorado and Wyoming, USA. Palaeobotanist 50: 133 -140.

Brown, Peter M., Kaufmann, Merrill R., and Shepperd, Wayne. 1999. Long-term, landscape patterns of past fire events in a montane ponderosa pine forest of central Colorado. Landscape Ecology 14: 513-532.

Brown, Peter M., Ryan, Michael G., and Andrews, Thomas G. 2000. Historical surface fire frequency in ponderosa pine stands in Research Natural Areas, central Rocky Mountains and Black Hills, USA. Natural Areas Journal 20: 133-139.

Byler, J. W.; Marsden, M. A.; Hagle, S.K. 1992. The probability of root disease on the Lolo national Forest, Montana. Can. J. For. Res. 20:987-994.

Byler, J. W. and S. K. Hagle. 2000. Succession Functions of Pathogens and Insects. Ecoregion sections M332a and M333d in northern Idaho and western Montana. Summary. USDA Forest Service, State and Private Forestry. R1-FHP 00-09. 37 pp.

Crane, M. F. 1982. Fire ecology of Rocky Mountain Region forest habitat types. Final Report to the US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Region Two, 15 May 1982. Purchase order NO. 43-82X9-1-884.

Filip, G.M.; Goheen, D.J. 1984. Root diseases cause severe mortality in white and grand fir stands of the Pacific Northwest. Forest Science 30: 138-142.

Furniss, M.M.; Livingston, R.L.; McGregor, M.D. 1981. Development of a stand susceptibility classification for Douglas-fir beetle (Dendroctonus pseudotsugae). In: Hedden, R.L.; Barres, S.J.; Coster, J.E., tech. coords. Hazard rating systems in forest insect pest management. Symposium proceedings; 1980 July 31-August 1; Athens, Georgia. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-27. Washington, D.C.: U.S.D.A.- Forest Service: 115-128.

Goheen, D.J.; E.M. Hansen. 1993. Effects of pathogens and bark beetles on forests. In: Beetle- pathogen interactions in conifer forests. Academic Press Ltd. PP. 176-196.

Hagle, S.; J. Schwandt; T. Johnson; S. Kegley; C. Bell Randall; J. Taylor; I. B. Lockman; N. Sturdevant; M. Marsden. 2000. Successional functions of pathogens and insects; Ecoregion sections M332a and M333d in northern Idaho and western Montana. Volume 2: Results. USDA Forest Service, State and Private Forestry,

Northern Region. R1-FHP 00-11. 262 pp. Appendices.

Hagle, S.; T. Johnson; M. Marsden; L. Lewis; L. Stipe; J. Schwandt; J. Byler; S. Kegley; C. Bell Randall; J. Taylor; I. B. Lockman; N. Sturdevant; S. Williams. 2000. Successional functions of pathogens and insects; Ecoregion sections M332a and M333d in northern Idaho and western Montana. Volume 1: Methods. USDA Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Northern Region. R1-FHP 00-10. 97 pp.

Hagle, S.K.; Byler, J.W. 1993. Root diseases and natural disease regimes in a forest of western U.S.A. In: Johansson, M.; Stenlid, J., eds., Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Root and Butt Rots, Wik, Sweden and Haikko, Finland, August 9-16, 1993. pp. 606-617.

Hagle, S.K.; Byler, J.W.; Jeheber-Matthews, S.; Barth, R.; Stock, J.; Hansen, B.; Hubbard, C. 1994. Root disease in the Coeur d'Alene river basin: An assessment. In: Interior Cedar-Hemlock-White pine forests: Ecology and Management, 1993, March 2-4; Spokane, WA: Washington State University, Pullman, WA. Pp. 335-344.

Haig, I. T.; K. P. Davis; R. H. Weidman. 1941. Natural regeneration in the western white pine type. USDA Tech. Bull. 767. Washington, DC: 99 p.

Holah, J. C.; M.V. Wilson; E.M. Hansen. Impacts of a native root-rotting pathogen on successional development of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Oecologia (1977) 111: 429-433.

Kaufmann, Merrill R., Regan, Claudia M., and Brown, Peter M. 2000. Heterogeneity in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests: age and size structure in unlogged and logged landscapes of central Colorado. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 30: 698-711.

Keane, Robert E., Arno, Stephen F., and Brown, James K. 1990. Simulating cumulative fire effects in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests. Ecology 71(1): 189-203.

Kurz, W.A.; Beukema, S.J.; Robinson, D.C.E. 1994. Assessment of the role of insect and pathogen disturbance in the Columbia River Basin: a working document. Prepared by ESSA Technologies, Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. USDA Forest Service, Coeur d'Alene, ID, 56 pp.

Laven, R.D., Omi, P. N., Wyant, J. G., and Pinkerton, A. S. 1981. Interpretation of fire scar data from a ponderosa pine ecosystem in the central Rocky Mountains, Colorado. In Stokes, M. A., and Dieterich, John H., technical coordinators. Proceedings of the Fire History Workshop, October 20-24, 1980, Tucson, AZ. General Technical Report RM-81. Fort Collins, CO: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 46-49.

Morgan, P. and Parsons, R. 2001, Historical range of variability of forests of the Idaho Southern Batholith Ecosystem. University of Idaho. Unpublished.

Peet, R. K. 1988. Forests of the Rocky Mountains. In: M.G. Barbour and W. D. Billings, eds. Terrestrial Vegetation of North America. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press: 64-102.

Peet, Robert K. 1978. Latitudinal variation in southern Rocky Mountain forests. Journal of Biogeography 5: 275-289.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Steele, Robert; Arno, Stephen F.; Geier-Hayes, Kathleen. 1986. Wildfire patterns change in central Idaho's ponderosa pine-Douglas-fir forest. Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 1(1): 16-18.

Swetnam, T.W.; Lynch, A. 1989. A tree-ring reconstruction of western spruce budworm history in the southern Rocky Mountains. For. Sci. 35: 962-986.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/Accessed 06/14/2004.

Veblen, T.T.; Hadley, K. S.; Reid, M. S.; Rebertus, A. J. 1991. The response of subalpine forests to spruce beetle outbreak in Colorado. Ecology 72(1): 213-231.

Williams, C.B.; Azuma, D.L.; Ferrell, G.T. 1992. Incidence and effects of endemic populations of forest pests in young mixed-conifer forest of the Sierra Nevada. USDA- Forest Service, Research Paper PSW-RP-212, Pacific Southwest Research Station, 8 p.